BUCHALTER, NEMER, FIELDS & TOUNGER A Professional Corporation

MARK M. SCOTT (State Bar #138569) RONALD K. SITTLER (Bar #185853)

19100 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 300

P.O. Box 14635

Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 760-1121 Facsimile: (949) 720-0182

Attorneys for Plaintiff The CIT Group/Equipment Inc. JUN 17 1999



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Sch: 1216-99 e 8:30 0/3

THE CIT GROUP/EQUIPMENT FINANCING,) INC., a New York corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY, a California corporation doing business as Golden Era Productions; and DOES 1 through 15, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 329152

COMPLAINT FOR:

- BREACH OF WRITTEN 1. LEASE AGREEMENT
- RECOVERY OF PERSONAL 2. PROPERTY
- CONVERSION

[General Civil]

Plaintiff The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. ("CIT") alleges:

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

(Against Each Defendant)

 CIT is and at all times mentioned herein was a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, qualified to do business in the State of California.

- 2. Defendant Church of Scientology (CSI / IS that Is times mentioned herein was a California corporation doing business in the County of Riverside, State of California. CIT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that CSI does business under the fictitious name Golden Era Productions.
- herein as DOES 1 through 15, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, are unknown to CIT, who therefore sues these Defendants by fictitious names. CIT will amend the Complaint to show their true names and capacities when ascertained. The actions of DOES 1 through 15 as alleged herein were duly ratified by each of the Defendants, which each Defendant acting as an agent of the other within the course and scope of said agency. CIT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants designated herein as DOE is liable to CIT for the debts and actions hereinafter alleged.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Breach of Written Lease Agreement Against Each Defendant)

- CIT incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 3 above, as though set forth in full.
- 5. On or about July 28, 1997, CIT, as lessor, and CSI, as lessee, entered into a written Equipment Lease (the "Lease") whereby CSI agreed to lease from CIT certain equipment (the "Equipment") as described therein. On or about July 28, 1997, CSI executed a written Delivery and Installation Certificate whereby it acknowledged receipt of the Equipment. A copy of the

- and Installation Certificate is attached hereto as Exhibit "2."
  - Under the Lease, CSI agreed, among other things:
    - (a) to make monthly rental payments on a timely basis;
    - (b) that late charges would accrue on any payment not paid when due;
    - (c) that the term of the Lease would be 60 months;
    - (d) that the amount of the monthly payments would be \$5,273.08 each; and
    - (e) that if CSI failed to make any payment when due under the Lease or committed any other event of default:
      - (i) CIT could declare CSI in default and exercise all of its rights and remedies under the Lease and California law;
      - (ii) CIT could declare all the unpaid
         rentals and all future rentals
         immediately due and payable;
      - (iii) CIT could take possession of any or all
        of the Equipment wherever located
        without court order or other process of
        law: and
      - (iv) CSI would paid CIT all of its costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred in the enforcement of its rights thereunder.

- promises required on its part under the terms of the Lease.
- 8. CSI has defaulted under the Lease by, among other things, failing to make the monthly payments due thereunder for May 1999 and thereafter. CIT has exercised its right to declare all the unpaid rentals to date and the future rentals immediately due and payable. As a result of CSI's default, there is due, owing and unpaid as of June 15, 1999 from CSI to CIT under the Lease the contract balance of \$194,791.09, plus late charges and interest accruing thereafter, expenses and costs, including attorneys' fees.
- CIT has made demand for payment upon CSI, but CSI has failed and refused to pay to CIT the sum owed under the Lease.
- 10. Under the Lease, CSI agreed to pay CIT all of its expenses and costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred by CIT in enforcing any of its rights under the Lease. Due to the default of CSI under the Lease, CIT has been obliged to retain the law firm of Buchalter, Nemer, Fields & Younger, a professional corporation, to enforce its rights. CIT is, therefore, entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of collection.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Recovery Of Personal Property ... Against Each Defendant)

- 11. CIT repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 3 and 5 through 10 above, as though set forth in full.
- 12. CIT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants claim some right, title or interest in

alleges that such claims of lien, right, title or interest, if any, are subsequent to, subject to and junior to CIT's ownership interest in and claim to the Equipment.

- 13. By virtue of the Lease and CSI's default thereunder, CIT has all of the rights and remedies of a finance lessor under the Commercial Code, including, without limitation, the right to immediate possession of the Equipment and the right to request the assistance of the Court to enforce the terms of the Lease.
- of the Equipment to CIT, CSI has failed and refused to do so.

  CIT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the

  Equipment is being wrongfully detained by the Defendants.

  According to the best knowledge, information and belief of CIT,

  the Defendants have failed and refused to deliver the Equipment

  to CIT in order to avoid the contractual obligations of the

  Lease. The Equipment has not been taken for tax assessment or

  fine pursuant to statute or seized under execution against the

  property of CIT.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion Against Each Defendant)

- 15. CIT repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 3, 5 through 10 and 12 through 14 above, as though set forth in full.
- 16. At all times mentioned herein, CIT did and does have an absolute right to immediate possession of the Equipment.

  Despite CIT's demand, CSI and DOES 1 through 15 have failed and refused to deliver possession of the Equipment to CIT. As

Defendants.

17. As a proximate result of the actions of CSI and DOES 1 through 15, and each of them, CIT has been and will be deprived of possession of the Equipment, all to CIT's damage in a sum as yet unascertained. CIT has been and will be required to exert time, effort and expense in pursuit of its converted Equipment, all to CIT's further damage.

18. The acts of CSI and DOES 1 through 15 are malicious, willful and oppressive in that they are intended to cause injury to CIT or were done with a conscious disregard of CIT's rights. CIT is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendants, and each of them, have intentionally failed and refused to turn over possession of the Equipment to CIT without justification. Accordingly, CIT is entitled to an award of punitive damages according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, CIT prays for judgment as follows:

#### ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- For the sum of \$194,791.09, together with late charges accruing after June 15, 1999;
  - 2. For further damages according to proof at trial;
  - For CIT's reasonable attorneys' fees;

## ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

4. That the Defendants, and each of them, deliver and relinquish possession of the Equipment to CIT and, in the event that the same cannot be returned, that CIT recover judgment against the Defendants in an amount according to proof at trial;

for CIT's reasonable attorneys lees;

### ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- For general damages according to proof resulting from the conversion of CIT's Equipment;
  - For punitive damages according to proof at trial;

### ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- For costs of suit incurred herein;
- For such further legal and equitable relief as this
   Court deems proper.

DATED: June 15, 1999

BUCHALTER, NEMER, FIELDS & YOUNGER A Professional Corporation

By:

MARK M. SCOTT

Attorneys for Plaintiff The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc.

CSIILULDIN MOOGMOLKIIIR 1566 West Lincoln Anaheim, CA 92801

Equipment

dor:

Serial Number

New American M1202C Scissor Lift Used Hess Case Clamp Used Accu-Systems DS-1 Dowell Glue & Insert Machine New Marsei ERC-511L Air Compressor Used SCMI M3 Dip Chain Gang Rip Used Murphy-Rodgers MRM-16 Dust Collector

New Porter Cable 9690 Routers New Jet DHC15T4N Pancake Air Compressor New SCMI Hydro 3200 Table Saw with Guard New SCMI Selecta 12E Edge Bander New SCMI Superset 23 with Grinder with molder Now SCMI UNO RCS Wide Belt Sander

New WorkRite 4000 Wood Welder SCMI Fixed Air Floatstion Table #1037312020L Alpha 32 New Ritter R200 Frame Assembly, easel and single spindle drill m SCMI Mobile Air Floatation #103731070SB for Alpha 32

IIS SCHEDULE "A" IS ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF AN EQUIPMENT LEASE GREEMENT BETWEEN CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, INC GOLDEN ERA CODUCTIONS AND The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc., LESSOR, DATED

ESSOR: e CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. LESSEE:

CHURCHOFSCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONA

DBA

GOLDEN