(1)3/10

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

v.

21

23

2425

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

FEB 26 2009

Tavaglione

AG 5002 L

R

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

CASE NO. RIC 461032

J.K. PROPERTIES, INC.

LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN B. JONES Kevin B. Jones, Esq. (SBN 138986) Suki I. Patel, Esq. (SBN 231301)

2016 Riverside Drive, Second Floor

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Cross Defendant

Los Angeles, California 90039

Telephone: (323) 669-9090

J.K. PROPERTIES, INC.

Plaintiff,

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, ET AL,

Defendants.

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

Cross-Complainant

J.K. PROPERTIES, INC. and DOES 1 to 5,

Cross-Defendants

PLAINTIFF'S/CROSS DEFENDANT'S
AMENDED NOTICE OF OBJECTION
AND OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE
SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT/CROSS
COMPLAINANT CHURCH OF
SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL IN
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REQUEST
FOR HEARING ON OBJECTIONS
AND/OR WRITTEN FINDINGS AS TO
OBJECTIONS; POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT
[Code of Civ. Proc. §437c(b)5; Cal Rules of
Court Rule 3.1354 (b)]

Date: March 10, 2009

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 7

Complaint Filed: 11/22/06

Answer: 1/9/08

Cross Complaint Filed: 1/9/08

Answer to Cross Complaint: 4/14/08
Trial Date: None yet Assigned

February 26, 2009

COMES NOW, Plaintiff and Cross Defendant J.K. Properties, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "J.K."), who through their counsel, files this amended notice of objection and objection to the evidence submitted by defendant/cross complaint Church of Scientology International (hereinafter referred to as "Scientology"), in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment. Furthermore, in addition to the objections stated, J.K. herein motions this Court to strike all of the below referenced language/exhibits pursuant to *Code of Civ. Proc.* §436. These objections are made pursuant to *Code of Civ. Proc.* §437c(b)5 and *Cal Rules of Court* Rule 3.1354.

Respectfully, Submitted,

Kevin B. Jones, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff and Cross defendant, J.K. Properties, Inc.

OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT/CROSS COMPLAINANT CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Objections to Fraser Declaration

Objection Number 1

"...which makes and distributes religious films and lectures" (Fraser Declaration Paragraph 1, line 9)

Grounds for Objection 1: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 2

"On August 7, 2006, Golden Era provided a 90-day notice to Anza and Excel that it was vacating the remaining 53 units in the apartment complex. (a true and correct copy is appended hereto as Exhibit F)" (Fraser Declaration Page 1, lines 19-21.)

Grounds for Objection 2: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objection Number 3

"... The Church subsequently withdrew that notice. (A true and correct copy is appended hereto as Exhibit G" (Fraser Declaration, Page 1, lines 21-22.)

Grounds for Objection 3: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objection Number 4

"...However, J.K. took the position that the notice could not be withdrawn and demanded the property be vacated by November 5, 2006. (A true and correct copy of the letter from J.K.'s attorney to this effect is appended hereto as Exhibit H.)" (Fraser Declaration, Page 1, lines 22-25)

Grounds for Objection 4: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 5

"At my direction, an [sic] November 4, 2006, a representative of the Church, Linda Greilich, spoke by telephone with Pinkal [sic] Jogani, one of the principals of J.K., endeavoring to negotiate an extension of the lease." (Fraser Declaration, Page 1, lines 26-28)

Grounds for Objection 5: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 6

"Arising out of that call, Ms. Greilich faxed a letter to Mr. Jogani on November 4, 2006, which stated: 'Dear Mr. Jogani, this is to put in writing what we agreed per our phone conversation of today's date that we, Golden Era Productions, will give you 90 days notice to move out, and we will pay you 60 days bonus of rent when we move out." (A true and correct copy I caused to be sent by Ms. Grielich is appended hereto as Exhibit I.)" (Fraser Declaration, Page 1 lines 28- Page 2, line 5)

Grounds for Objection 6: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 7

"On November 5, 2006, J.K.'s attorney wrote and characterized the letter from Ms. Greilich not as an agreement, but as an "offer." (A true and correct copy is appended hereto as Exhibit J.)" (Fraser Declaration, Page 2, lines 6-8)

Grounds for Objection 7: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 8

"In a further letter sent to Church counsel and forwarded to me from Mr. Bhatia, on November 14, 2006, he stated: "Please note that there is no agreement between the

parties to date, including any discussion between the parties on November 5, 2006. Golden Era's letter does <u>not</u> bind my clients...Please be clear: there will be no agreement until <u>both</u> parties execute a written extension of the lease, after all terms have been resolved...Otherwise, please note that my clients will move forward on their unlawful detainer claim." (A true and correct copy is appended hereto as Exhibit Ex. [sic] K.)(Emphasis in original)" (Fraser Declaration, Page 2, lines 9-16) Grounds for Objection 8: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objection Number 9

"...Meanwhile, the parties engaged in settlement discussions, however, such discussions failed to result in resolution of the case or agreement on an amended lease. No agreement was achieved, and no new lease or addendum to the existing lease was signed. Reaching no agreement on an extension of the lease..." (Fraser Declaration, Paragraph 2, lines 17-21).

Grounds for Objection 9: Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §\$210, 350-351).

Objection Number 10

"...on July 15, 2007, as a courtesy to plaintiff, I instructed counsel to give a new 30-day notice to J.K. Properties that it would be vacating the premises on August 15, 2007. (A true and correct copy is appended hereto as Exhibit N")" (Fraser Declaration, Page 2, lines 21-23)

Grounds for Objection 10: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352). Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401).

Objection Number 11

"Mr. Jones sent me a letter regarding the results of the walk-through, indicating 'I must commend your organization on the overall condition of the apartments. I found

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

no damages to any of the apartments including the appliances that was not more than normal wear and tear." (A true and correct copy is attached as Ex. O.)" (Fraser Declaration, Page 2, line 26-unnumbered page 3, line 2)

Grounds for Objection 11: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352). Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401.)

Objection Number 12

"The Church pays Mr. Moxon's firm a reduced rate flat retainer fee of \$4,500 per week for his work, the hours of which vary from week to week." (Fraser Declaration, unnumbered Page 3, lines 4-5)

Grounds for Objection 12: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352);

Objection Number 13

"Mr. Moxon has set forth his hours in a separate declaration." (Fraser Declaration, unnumbered Page 3, lines 5-6)

Grounds for Objection 13: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401).

Objections to Moxon Declaration Objection Number 14

"On at least 10 occasions, I communicated both in writing and orally with plaintiff's counsel in this case, pointing out the lack of merit of plaintiff's theories of recovery for the charges set forth in the "Final Statement of Account" and its attachment."

(Moxon Declaration, Page 1, lines 7-9)

Grounds for Objection 14: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152).

Objection Number 15

"The first such communication was a letter to counsel following receipt of plaintiff's position respect monies claimed owed. On October 30, 2007, I wrote: Your letter

asserts a failure to pay "the sum owing" as to this property and states that you intend PLAINTIFF'S/CROSS DEFENDANT'S AMENDED NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT/CROSS COMPLAINANT CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT-6

8

11

14

28

to amend the complaint to assert a fraud cause of action. I write again in an effort to resolve this matter and urge you to reconsider this highly inadvisable course of conduct.

I am setting forth the key points of the chronology here for your information in an effort to prevent the need to respond later to legal positions by your client which lack merit and which are based upon conclusions not warranted by the correct facts. (Ex. A)" (Moxon Declaration, Page 1, lines 10-21)

Grounds for Objection 15: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152).

Objection Number 16

"My letter thereafter set forth the essential facts addressed in the instant summary judgment motion. The letter concluded: Given that your client has not sought to rent any of the other units it received last summer, the exemplary condition which the property was maintained by the Church, and that the Church is not in possession, there is no basis for damages in this case and thus no reason to continue any litigation and the unnecessary further expenditure of funds." (Moxon Declaration, Page 1, lines 23-Page 2 line 2)

Grounds for Objection 16: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152).

Objection Number 17

"Plaintiff and its counsel ignored the letter. I thereafter spoke to Mr. Jones about the issues in the case and offered to address any point he might wish to avoid further litigation, [sic] but he declined." (Moxon Declaration, Page 2, lines 3-5) Grounds for Objection 17: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152).

"Attorney Mason Yost took over primary responsibility of the case for plaintiff thereafter, and indicated he intended to file an amended complaint addressing these same theories of recovery. I spoke to him about the lack of merit and contradictory nature of the plaintiff's theories by telephone, and then again during the several depositions of his clients. All of these efforts were unavailing." (Moxon Declaration, Page 2, lines 6-10)

Grounds for Objection 18: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152).

Objection Number 19

"Because of plaintiff's frivolous positions, I was required to take 4 depositions of present and former Anza Management Company employees and one of the principals of J.K. Properties to acquire the evidence set forth in the motion for summary judgment." (Moxon Declaration, Page 2, lines 11-13)

Grounds for Objection 19: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352, 800).

Objection Number 20

"My client pays my firm a reduced rate flat [sic] retainer fee of \$4,500 per week for my work for it, which runs from no time some weeks to as much as 45 hours some other weeks, depending upon litigation circumstances. The average is 20 hours per week for such services, which equates to \$225 per hour on this reduced rate. However, I request my normal fee of \$300/hr as a reasonable fee for my work on this case, which is a low fee for my level of experience as a litigator in this community."

(Moxon Declaration, Page 2, lines 14-19)

Grounds for Objection 20: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352, 800).

Objection Number 21

"...substantial...given plaintiff's assertion of frivolous litigation positions." (Moxon Declaration, Page 3, lines 5-7)

24

22

 $/\!/\!/$

28

Grounds for Objection 21: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352, 800).

Objection Number 22

"...The court reporter fees for the four depositions in this case, and the fees for the translator demanded by J.K. Properties' representative, cost \$3,574.24" (Moxon Declaration, Page 3, lines 7-8)

Grounds for Objection 22: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352, 800); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §702 (a)); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objections to Deposition transcript (portions) of Debra Berutich **Objection Number 23**

Exhibit "C"-18 Pages, 7, 8, 11, 14, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 57, 59, 71, 72, 73, 75, and 76-no lines referenced-irrelevant [said pages are incorporated hereat by reference]. (Attached as Exhibit "C" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 23: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Deposition transcript (portions) of Anil Mehta **Objection Number 24**

Exhibit "D"-22 Pages 10, 13, 29, 30, 31, 42, 43, 53, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 63, 64, 67, 68, 69, 82, 83, 84, and 85- no lines referenced-irrelevant [said pages are incorporated hereat by reference]. (Attached as Exhibit "D" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 24: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Deposition transcript (portions) of William Jones Objection Number 25

Exhibit "E"-3 Pages 6, 17, and 18- no lines referenced-irrelevant [said pages are incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "E" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 25: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "F" (Letter of August 7, 2006) Objection Number 26

Exhibit "F"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "F" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 26: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objections to Exhibit "G" (Letter of November 1, 2006) Objection Number 27

Exhibit "G"-2 Pages [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "G" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 27: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objections to Exhibit "H" (Letter of November 3, 2006) Objection Number 28

Exhibit "H"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "H" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 28: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "I" (Letter of November 5, 2006) Objection Number 29

Exhibit "I"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "I" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 29: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "J" (Letter of November 6, 2006) Objection Number 30

Exhibit "J"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "J" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 30: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "K" (Letter of November 14, 2006) Objection Number 31

Exhibit "K"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "K" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 31: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Settlement discussions; (Evid. Code §1152); Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "M" (Opposition to Demurrer) Objection Number 32

Exhibit "M"-6 Pages [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "M" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

///

Grounds for Objection 32: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352).

Objections to Exhibit "N" (Letter of July 16, 2007) Objection Number 33

Exhibit "N"-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "N" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 33: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352). Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); *California Evidence Code* §1152 et seq.

Objections to Exhibit "O" (Letter of August 20, 2007) Objection Number 34

Exhibit "O"-2 Pages [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "O" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 34: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352). Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401.)

Objections to Exhibit "P" (Email of August 19, 2007) Objection Number 35

Exhibit "P"-Not referenced in Plaintiff's Separate Statement-1 Page [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "P" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 35: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352). Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200); Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401.)

Objections to Exhibit "Q" (Final Statement dated September 4, 2007) Objection Number 36

Exhibit "Q"-Final Statement of Account-2 Pages [said page is incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "Q" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 36: Foundation-lack of personal knowledge (Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401); Hearsay (Evid. Code §1200).

Objections to Exhibit "R" (Reporter's Transcript of September 24, 2008) Objection Number 37

Exhibit "R"-Reporter's Transcript of Oral Proceedings-3 Pages, [said pages incorporated hereat by reference] (Attached as Exhibit "R" to Scientology's Motion for Summary Judgment).

Grounds for Objection 37: Irrelevant (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Foundation-(Evid. Code, §§403, 702 (a), 1400-1401);

Objections to Filing of Third Declaration of Kendrick Moxon Objection Number 38

Notice of Filing of Third Declaration of Kendrick Moxon and Declaration of Kendrick Moxon-5 Pages [said pages incorporated hereat by reference] (Filed with this Court on 2/13/09).

Grounds for Objection 38: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Untimely, Code of Civ. Proc. §437c; Code of Civ. Proc. 1005 (b); Improper Pleading, Code of Civ. Proc. §§435-436.

Objections to Filing of Revised Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts Objection Number 39

Revised Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Motion for Summary

Judgment on Complaint and on Cross Complaint-14 Pages [said pages incorporated hereat by reference] (Filed with this Court on 2/13/09).

Grounds for Objection 39: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Untimely, Code of Civ. Proc. §437c; Code of Civ. Proc. 1005 (b); Improper Pleading, Code of Civ. Proc. §§435-436.

Objections to Filing of Second Declaration of Kendrick Moxon Objection Number 40

Notice of Filing of Second Declaration of Kendrick Moxon and Declaration of Kendrick Moxon-3 Pages [said pages incorporated hereat by reference] (Filed with this Court on 2/10/09).

Grounds for Objection 40: Irrelevant, (Evid. Code §§210, 350-352); Untimely, Code of Civ. Proc. §437c; Code of Civ. Proc. 1005 (b); Improper Pleading, Code of Civ. Proc. §§435-436.

Dated: February 26, 2009

LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN B. JONES

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin B. Jones, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Cross Defendant

J.K. Properties, Inc.

2

4

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2016 Riverside Drive, Los Angeles, California 90039.

On the date set forth below, I caused to be served the foregoing document described as:

PLAINTIFF'S/CROSS DEFENDANT'S AMENDED NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT/CROSS COMPLAINANT CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REQUEST FOR HEARING ON OBJECTIONS AND/OR WRITTEN FINDINGS AS TO OBJECTIONS; POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT [Code of Civ. Proc. §437c(b)5; Cal Rules of Court Rule 3.1354 (b)]

BY MAIL: by depositing the document listed above in a sealed envelope, with postage fully pre-paid, and addressed as set forth below:

John A. Boyd THOMPSON & COLGATE 3610 Fourteenth St. Riverside, CA 92502 Kendrick L. Moxon MOXON & KOBRIN 3055 Wilshire Blvd., Ste 900 Los Angeles, CA 90010

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under the practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business.

- **BY FACSIMILE:** by transmitting via facsimile the document listed above from a facsimile transmission machine whose telephone number is (323) 669-0440.
- **<u>X</u> BY PERSONAL SERVICE**: I personally delivered by hand to the offices of the addressees.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 26, 2009 at Los Angeles, California.

Jesus Berneio