1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER, A)
3	California Corporation, et al.,)CV 85-711 JMI (Bx) Plaintiffs,
) CV 85-7197JMI (Bx)
4	VS.
5	ROBIN SCOTT, An Individual,) et al.
6	Defendants.
7	RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER, A)
8	California Corporation, et al.,) Plaintiffs,
9	VS.
))
10	LARRY WOLLERSHEIM, An) Individual, et al.,)
ıı	Defendants.
12	AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS)
13	
14	ORAL DEPOSITION OF RICHARD N. AZNARAN NOVEMBER 10, 1989

15	
16	ANSWERS AND DEPOSITION OF RICHARD N. AZNARAN,
17	produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants
18	and Counter-Claimants, taken in the above-styled
19	and -numbered cause on the 10th day of November,

produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants and Counter-Claimants, taken in the above-styled and -numbered cause on the 10th day of November,

A. D., 1989, before Lori A. Belvin, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, in the conference room of CLARK, WEST, KELLER, BUTLER & ELLIS, 4800 Renaissance Tower, City of Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, in accordance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

20

21

22

23

24

1	APPEARANCES
2	TURNER, GERSTENFELD, WILK & TIGERMAN 8383 Wilshire Boulevard
3	Suite 510
4	Beverly Hills, California 90211 BY: MR. LAWRENCE E. HELLER
5	APPEARING FOR COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, CHURCH OF THE SPIRITUAL TECHNOLOGY
6	
7	MYERSON & KUHN
8	2029 Century Park East Suite 1900
9	Los Angeles, CA 90067 BY: MR. JEROLD FAGELBAUM
10	APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS AND
11	COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, DAVID MAYO AND CHURCH OF THE NEW CIVILIZATION
12	
13	BRIGHT & POWELL 1090 Eugenia Place Suite 200
14	Carpinteria, California 93013
15	BY: MR. GARY M. BRIGHT
16	APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, DAVID MAYO,
17	CHURCH OF THE NEW CIVILIZATION, JOHN NELSON, HARVEY HABER,
18	VIVIAN HARTOG, DEDE REISDORF
19	WRIGHT and McRAE
20	3411 McKinney Avenue Dallas, Texas 75204 BY: MS. KAREN E• McRAE
21	
22	APPEARING FOR WITNESS, RICHARD N. AZNARAN
23	Aron C. Magon Bonnogontativo
24	ALSO PRESENT: Aron C. Mason, Representative of Church of Scientology International
25	Lynn Farny, Representative of Church of Scientology

1	INDEX
2	Examination of Richard N. Aznaran
3	Cross by Mr. Heller (Cont.) Page 238
4	
5	EXHIBITS Number Marked Identified
6	DEFENDANT'S
7	Exhibit 1 Deposition Subpoena 9 9
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
1,3	
14	
15	;
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(Time: 9:55 a.m.)

RICHARD N. AZNARAN,

having been first duly cautioned and sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified on his oath as follows:

<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT.)</u>

BY MR. HELLER:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Aznaran, you were given some admonitions by Mr. Bright yesterday. I'll call them admonitions and instructions with regard to the deposition procedure, which we engaged in yesterday, and we're going to continue with today.

Do you recall basically what those were about?

- A. No. Was I admonished and I didn't even remember?
- Q. Well, the admonition went to making sure that you give truthful testimony and the most accurate testimony you possibly can.
 - A. Oh, of course.
- Q. The instructions went to the nature of the deposition procedure and the logistics involved and how to respond. And I think they

were a little short, but I STo recall you getting
some. Do you recall getting them?

- A. I suppose. I mean is it anything new?

 Is there a particular point being made?
- Q. No. I want to make sure that you, understand the procedure that we're engaging in today, and that you don't have any questions with regard to that procedure.
 - A. No. I don't think so.

б

Q. Let me reaffirm one instruction which I gave you while Mr. Bright was examining you, actually, two instructions, which I think will make this deposition go a little faster today perhaps.

First, again, you remember I talked to you yesterday and let me reaffirm it today about the difference between an estimate and a guess.

We don't want you to speculate or wildly speculate on something predicated upon other events which may have transpired or other experiences you have when you're responding to a particular question.

- A. Say that again.
- Q. Okay. When I ask you a question, particularly a question, and probably the best example would be dealing with when something

occurred In the past.

- A. Sure.
- Q. I'm entitled and you must give me an estimate if you have it. And the estimate might be quite a broad one. For instance, you could say, gee, it wasn't the first part of 1980. It might be more specific. You could say, well, it was on a certain day or it was on a certain month or a certain year or even a season, and I'm entitled to that.

On the other hand, you may have no idea at all when this event took place. That would be speculation. That would be a guess, and I don't want you to do that. And please feel free to respond to such a question, whether it's dates or another event in the past by telling me I can't answer because I'd be guessing if I did.

- A. Okay.
- Q. I don't want you to guess. And, of course, the reason for that is for your protection as well as mine because you don't want to make a guess at something and then be somehow impeached at a later time because it occurred.
 - A. Absolutely. Sure.
 - Q. Right. We've determined through

independent evidence that it occurred at attfither point or that it was another time.

A. Sure.

б

Q. Secondly, if you would, I certainly want you to and encourage you to explain any answer that you give me and feel free. And if, in fact, a question calls for a yes or no response, but you feel that you cannot give such a response without an explanation, give it to me. And I noted yesterday that there were a couple of times that both Mr. Bright and I asked you questions and you said, well, I've got to explain it. I can't just say yes or no. That's fine.

The other side of that coin, however, is that you try to answer the specific question which I ask as narrowly as you can. Again, I do not want to inhibit any explanation you have that might be in response to a question, if you feel you have to do it, and please feel free, and tell me, if you can, hey, I've got to explain that.

Okay?

- Okay?
 - A. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. All right. That was my impression from taking your deposition and listening to your deposition testimony yesterday that those two

- things would be kind of important for you to keep
 in mind today if they were not particularly
 covered by either Mr. Bright or I.
 - A. Can I say one thing about the dating?
 - Q. Sure.

A. One thing, I guess, probably that we're running into on that is that, okay, I was -- there's certain habit patterns I guess I did develop as part of being with this particular group for fifteen years or what have you.

One of these is simply that they actually operate on a little different basis in that they consider per Dianetics is actually to date something. And one of which is to place it in relationship to other things which were occurring at that time. Okay.

- Q. That's fine.
- A. So when I respond that way, it's not necessarily I'm trying to give you a bad time it's just that's the way I've been trained into thinking.
- Q. That's absolutely fine and I'll try to get you -- I'll try to key you in on when you do that to a particular date. Again, that's my preference and I think what I'm entitled to is

that you give me the date or a season or something
like that first. If you must key it in and
respond in that fashion to another event, fine.

A. Yeah. Because, see, to me that's a very real way of dating something. I mean, for, example, you say when did you first, you know, get your hair cut or whatever, I can tell you, well, the first time it reached my ears or whatever. That's kind of a stupid example, taut you get what I'm saying.

Q. Sure.

- A. It's like I can give you -- I can date it that way. You know, when did this happen.

 Well, that happened when Kennedy was shot because that stands out in my mind. Maybe I don't remember that's 1962, you know. The fact that that's a date that can be shown, in other words, that to me is like a legitimate way of dating something. And, again, I'm not trying to give you a bad time about it.
- Q. Well, I didn't mean to imply that you were. If that's the only way you can respond to a question, you know, feel free to do so. You are still under oath and you understand the pains and penalties, criminal and civil, of perjury.

Accordingly, please respond to the question, my question as best that you are able to your best recollection.

A. Absolutely.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. As I think Mr. Bright said, you will be able to change your testimony when we get the booklet, but it could inure to your detriment if you do that.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Have you consumed any alcoholic beverages within the last twelve hours?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you under the influence of any medication or drugs at this point in time?
 - A. No.
- Q. Is there any other reason emotional, physical, or anything else I may not have thought of that would prevent you from cogently and intelligently answering any questions which I might ask you today?
- A. There's absolutely no reason not to begin this session.
- Q. I believe when we left off, we were up to about 1982 with regard to your post positions?

 \mathcal{Q}_{\sim} . And you had testified generally that frr-1 July 1982 you were appointed as safety officer? 2 That's correct. 3 Α. Please describe the functions of your O. 4 post as of July, 1982 as safety officer. 5 July? Is that what we're saying when I Α. 6 became safety officer? I was in the RPF. 7 Q. All right. Give me one second, if you 8 will. 9 THE REPORTER: And if you'll give me one 10 11 second. MR. HELLER: Let's go off the record 12 (Off-the-record discussion.) 13 MR. HELLER: Let's go back on the record 14 for a second. 15 16 If, in fact, in recounting your 17 testimony that you've given yesterday, I should --18 and every now and then I'll recount it to bring us 19 back up to speed so we don't waste time, I should give a wrong date, correct me. 20 21 Α. Okay.

attorney in these proceedings, as well as your

The other thing, by the way, as you

probably know we have made quite a record that we

believe it's improper that Ms. McRae be your

22

23

24

wife's attorney, given the injunctive order in the 1 2 Yanny case. Regardless, she is here representing 3 She has stated such. I don't mean to waive 4 any objection or rights we have with future 5 motions with regard to representation. But, if you 6 have any questions you have of her, of course, you 7 know you can go off the record and we can leave 8 the room or she can leave and you can discuss.

A. Great. And all I want to say since you did make that statement on the record, I'd also like to say that I personally don't see anything wrong with her assisting her family in legal matters. She did it before you guys came along and I'm sure she'll do it after.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. What day or what month in 1982 were you first appointed safety officer?
- A. It would have been to the best of my recollection September or October.
- Q. Now, my question was: As of that point when you were appointed safety officer, what functions were you performing in that capacity?
- A. My initial function was to -- let's see. I'm trying to think of what they call these people. They call these people when it was called RTC Conditions Order Number 1, it was an ethics

order that named a cunch or people who were considered bad guys. And it was my job to guard these people, oversee their guarding, ensure that they were following the orders they had been given and just basically shepherd these people around and so forth. There had just been a rather what had been considered a serious flap in security concerning those people.

- Q. Can you identify those people for me?
- A. Not all of them. I can tell you that Mayo was there, David, Merrill Mayo was there. What's Mayo•s wife's name?

MR. BRIGHT: Julie Gillespie.

THE WITNESS: Julie Gillespie was there, this girl who used to be the LRH COM international. What was her name? She was there. Oh, what's his name? The guy who is executive director -- no, not executive director. What was he? The guy who used to be staff captain and I can't think of his name. Nelson, I think, was involved in that in some kind of way.

- Q. John Nelson?
- A. Yes. Because he was still in when I got out of the RPF. Anyway, some other people.
 - Q. There were others whose names you

1 don't

- A. Don't recall at this point.
- Q. If you should recollect them as we go on or over a break or lunch, please feel free and, in fact, I'd like you to come back and fill me, in.

 Okay?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Was there a particular locale where you acted as safety officer overseeing and shepherding, I think, was the word you used these people?
- A. There was actually two locations, one of them being Gilman Hot Springs, the other one being an even more remote desert location that had been -- it was referred to as Happy Valley. It was actually -- it was actually the other side of even the Soboba Indian reservation.
- Q. Did you go back and forth between these locations or were you at one and then stationed at another one subsequently?
- A. No. The location at Happy Valley was where they were birthed, where they actually lived. And the Gilman location, let's see, that's where they had Mayo running around the pole, and that's where -- let me think. I think that's

where most everybody was working or actually at both. Because they actually worked at Happy

Valley there for awhile there, too. So, anyway, yeah, back and forth.

- Q. Do I understand that these individuals, some of which you've identified that you were overseeing would work during the day at Gilman and then go to sleep at night in Happy Valley?
- A. Some of the time. Some of the time they'd work at Gilman. In the case of Mayo -- well, never mind. Yeah, they went back and forth.
- Q. Well, you started to say in the case of Mayo. Did --
- A. Well, I was trying -- I don't -- I remember Merrill Mayo when she escaped. She escaped from Happy Valley, but I didn't recall where we had David Mayo sleeping, but I think it was out at Happy Valley, as well.
- Q. Now, did Merrill Mayo escape or leave prior to the time that David Mayo did?
 - A. I don't recall one way or the other.
- Q. Can you tell me the circumstances of Merrill Mayo leaving?
 - MR. FAGELBAUM: Object. It exceeds the

1 scope 5T direct. 2 THE WITNESS: The part that really 3 stands out is her coming back with Bent Corydon to 4 try to get her gear. But I don't recall the exact 5 circumstances of her leaving. Tell me about her coming back with Bent 6 7 Corydon to get her gear. First of all, let's get a date on this if we can. When did she come back 8 9 with Bent Corydon? 10 Boy, I don't know. Α. 11 Ο. Start off with a year if you're able to. 12 Α. It would have been either late that year 13 or early the next year, I guess. 1982? 14 Q. 15 Yeah. Α. Or early '83? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yeah. Without giving me a month, can you tell 18 me how long prior to her coming back with Bent 19 20 Corydon she left? 21 I believe it was a matter of days. 22 And did I understand you to say that you do not know anything about the circumstances of 23 24 her leaving?

What I mean to tell you is that I don't

25

Α.

really recall at this point.

- Q. So when you characterized her leaving as an escape, what did you predicate that upon?
- A. Well, at that particular point in time these guys were being held pretty close. That would have been generally the way she had gotten out.
- Q. But you had no facts to state that, in fact, she escaped as opposed to just freely walking out?
- A. I was there. I mean the fact that this is the first time I've thought about it in five years makes it a little -- I don't want to give you any speculation. I'm sure that -- you know, as we go through this, I'm sure that more and more of it will start coming to mind.
- Q. And that's what I'm now keying in on.

 As you sit here now, you don't know what the circumstances of her leaving was, whether walking out voluntarily, asking to leave, escaping? As you sit here now, you don't know?
- A. Well, I don't recall her leaving -asking to leave and leaving. Let me put it that
 way. The reason being there's a few things -this is more than just a guess, which I think is

- what you're trying to say I'm doing.
- Q. No. I just want to -- and I'm not
 asking you to even characterize. I just want to
 verify what I think you've already testified to
 that you don't know the circumstances of her
 leaving. And I'm trying to limit it to that, not
 based on circumstances surrounding what might lead
 you to believe.
 - A. It's not leading me to believe. I mean there's a couple of points here that I think that are real solid here. Okay. Number one, the guys who left, you know, who said, hey, man, I've had enough of this shit, I'm hitting the road, for example, Nelson; for example, David Mayo. They left with their gear as opposed to disappearing and then showing up later to try to pack up and leave. Okay. I mean that's just one example of what I'm trying to give you as a very real --
 - Q. Now, she did come back to get her gear later?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. She came back with Bent Corydon?
- A. Yeah.
- MR. BRIGHT: What's the relevance, Counsel?

MR: HELLER: Again, getting at the KPF and particularly this is precisely the time period when David Mayo was in the RPF, which has been a large subject of direct examination by both of you of both Vicki Aznaran and Richard Aznaran, and I suspect in other depositions that I haven't attended, certainly in declarations that I've read, at least one of which was by Mr. Mayo. I don't know how it could be too much more relevant than it is.

б

MR. BRIGHT: It would be great if it was Mr. Mayo you're talking about, but since you're talking about his ex-wife. I don't think we're going to be allowed evidence what happened to Merrill Mayo to show what happened to David Mayo and I don't think you can do the reverse, which is even more remote.

MR. HELLER: Well, we're not getting into this too deeply. Suffice it to say, there was a lot of testimony with regard to general conditions at the RPF.

MR. BRIGHT: I don't recall any testimony regarding Merrill Mayo or Bent Corydon or even Happy Valley. No testimony at all on direct on any of those subjects.

MR HELLER: Well, I'm not. limited to—

what particularly happened to the individuals that

you picked that were there at the time. I can

pick others and I could pick even others at times

so long as they're not too remote.

MR. BRIGHT: The reason we picked particular individuals we felt were parties to the case.

MR. HELLER: Well, I respect your -- or I should say you've made your objection for the record, and I guess we've made the record as we will.

- Q. Getting back to when she came back, she being Merrill Mayo with Bent Corydon. Were you there at that time?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Could you please describe that to me?
- A. Yeah.

MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object on relevance.

- Q. Go ahead.
- A. I was at Gilman, received a call from one of the security guards assigned to guard those guys at Happy Valley that Merrill and Bent had shown up. I drove out there as quickly as I

could. Merrill Mayo was demanding that her material possessions be surrendered to her.

- Q. Was she with Bent Corydon at the time?
- A. Yes. I would not allow them to come onto the property. I kept them out at the front gate and I left guards there to ensure that they didn't get on the property. Myself and a couple of other guards then went to the area where Merrill had been living, and when she had been sent to the RPF, she packed up what was hers and she had it there in the area where she was living.

We unpacked it all, went through it to make sure that -- so that we could do what was called a security check. Anybody routing out or leaving has to have their personal belongings gone through by security guards to make sure that they're not being -- leaving with anything that might be considered confidential or, you know, otherwise damaging to the church.

MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm sorry. Did you say a security check?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Is that different than sec check, as you said before?

auditing process. I mean, yeah, it's not referring to that. It's talking about actually going through the physical belongings. So we went through all those belongings taking out, you know, any -- well, a lot of materials she had on -- a lot of her personal belongings. Since she was a tech person, she had a lot of personal belongings such as course packs and reference materials and anything to do with Scientology we removed. There was even monetary things that were taken out.

- Q. I'm sorry. Monetary things?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Like for instance?
- A. Silver, pure silver. The year before they'd issued it was some kind of like a PR caper they'd done. They'd had some coins minted from Golden Era Studios and they were --
 - Q. Like promotional coins?
- A. Well, you know, just like you have silver ingots. And there was silver ingots with Golden Era Studios, you know, stamped on them or whatever. They were pure silver one ounce ingots and those were removed. We then carried the gear

1 outto where they were waiting, gave TE fo them, 2 and told them to get out of there. 3 MR. BRIGHT: Continuing objection on 4 relevancy. 5 0. And they left? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. They drove in and out by car. And when 8 say in and out, I understand you didn't let them 9 on the property. But they drove back by car? 10 Yes. They drove through the Indian 11 reservation. 12 Did Merrill Mayo have a car when she was 0. 13 at Happy Valley or at Gilman to your knowledge? 14 No. Α. 15 MR. BRIGHT: Same objection. 16 HELLER: By the way, I'm happy to MR. stipulate that you have a running objection if 17 you're comfortable with it to any of these 18 19 questions I ask about Merrill Mayo on relevance. 20 MR. BRIGHT: Fine. I agree to it. Ι object to the whole line of questioning. 21 22 MR. HELLER: Understood.

23

24

Anyother functions that you performed during this period commencing with October, September, 1982?

A. Yes.

- Q. As special officer?
- A. No. Safety officer.
- Q. Safety officer. I'm sorry. Anything else?

A. Yes. What happened was David Miscavige issued orders that no one was allowed to make any outside phone calls from the base. Anybody who considered that they had any type of emergency where it was required that they use the payphone was required to put in writing to me their reasons for needing to make a phone call and giving me the authority to let them make a phone call so that he did not no longer be bothered by those requests.

He also issued orders that no personal mail was to come to anybody on the base or leave the base from anybody on the base going to the outside world without first being screened by me. This involved opening up the United States mail.

No staff were given any opportunity to, you know, agree to this or anything. It was just done. But that brings it up to right about probably Thanksgiving.

1 O: O± 1982 V

б

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was there a phone on or in proximity fairly close to that area you called Happy Valley?
- A. Oh, not from -- there was one phone that was kept there and, as I recall, the guards unplugged it when they weren't using it to just make doubly sure that nobody else ever had an opportunity to use it.
- Q. While you were doing this, did you ever have a request from anyone there to make a phone call?
- A. From Happy Valley or maybe while they were at Gilman?
- Q. Well, let's talk about -- well, by necessity, I have to combine them, I think, because it's your testimony where you said that they would go back and forth maybe even on a daily basis, so let's combine them on both.
- A. Yes. I do recall receiving requests, but I cannot tell you who specifically requested it right this second.
- Q. Did you generally deny the requests, do you recall, or were they generally permitted?
 - A. Oh, no. I had been instructed by

There had been an earlier flap where John Nelson had been allowed to use the phone and that's why this issue came up and why everybody was allowed not to use the phone. John Nelson had used, the phone to actually contact his wife and that was

Miscavige fo just I mean it just wasn't done.

- Q. Now, you did testify that you believed and I take it you're certain that John Nelson was one of those people you were overseeing?
 - A. Yeah, when I first got there, right.
- Q. Now, did you carry any sort of weapons when you were doing this?
 - A. Personally?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

seriously upsetting.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. While I was doing that? Yes. There was a period of time where David Miscavige ordered me to carry a gun, a concealed weapon while I was on the property.
 - O. Concealed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know why?
- A. Yeah. It was all -- everything was getting ready for LRH to come there and it was like part of the plan to keep him protected. And

he just told me he wanted me to. carry a gun. S'0"

at the time I carried either a small caliber

Baretta or a .38 Colt.

Q. You described certain weapons that were carried by security personnel at Gilman during your examination, I guess, by Mr. Bright or Mr. Fagelbaum earlier yesterday. Do you recall that?

A. Certainly.

MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object. It misstates the testimony. Just to clarify, I believe he testified where they were located and that the security guards had access.

THE WITNESS: That's to the firearms, not all the weapons, just the firearms.

MR. BRIGHT: I stand corrected.

- Q. Was it at least one of the reasons why that weaponry was carried or around because there was an anticipation that L. Ron Hubbard would be residing at Gilman and there was very tight security measures in anticipation of him ultimately residing at Gilman?
- A. I don't believe so. Because I believe those guns were purchased long before that whole situation arose.

 $Q\sim$. Weren't there instances where people would come by such as press and other eyes that you were aware of and that you, in fact, helped handled in some cases that were sort of in the nature of what would be considered hassling, that compound out there, third parties, ex-scientologifts, press.

A. Never anything --

б

MR. BRIGHT: Wait a minute. Can I have that question read back, please? I want to object toit.

(Question read.)

MR. HELLER: Why don't you let me reask it for the record.

Q. Weren't there instances where people came by Gilman, people who didn't belong there and I suppose for want of a better term, hassled the people who were at Gilman and those people sometimes consisted of, I guess, third parties that no one knew, sometimes ex-scientologists, sometimes the press?

MR. BRIGHT: I have an objection.

Vague, compound, ambiguous, also no foundation as to time and no foundation as to what you mean by

1 hassle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. For timewise let's put it in this time period that we're talking about. Go head.
- A. It is true that people at times stopped by and that the church definitely frowned on that, but never at anytime did anybody stop by who posed any particular threat of any kind, whatsoever, and never certainly armed with anything more than possibly a camera.

And, although, we certainly viewed anybody stopping by as intentionally hassling us, I don't think that that really would fit the definition in the outside world.

- Q. However, there was emphasis and a mentality of privacy which pervaded this compound, we could agree on that, couldn't we?
- A. I would probably term it paranoia, but I'll use your words.
- Q. And that was one of the jobs of the guards to make sure that people did not get on the premises beyond the gates, if they existed or the walls if they existed?
 - A. No question about it.
- Q. Then, by the way, just to set up the logistics of Gilman I'm talking about now, did

1 they have actual quard stations.' 2 Α. Yes. 3 Did they have a fence around the compound? 4 A. Yes. 5 How high was that fence? 6 0. 7 Α. Six foot, not counting the barbwire. How many guard stations were there? And 8 0. let me define guard stations as station along the 9 road that would be methods of ingress and egress. 10 You mean fixed places as opposed to 11 Α. 12 where there were roving patrols? Yes. Exactly. 13 Ο. There were one, two, three, four, five, 14 Α. 15 six. Now, there were roving patrols as you've 16 Ο. 17 called them because there were hills and mountains behind that area, weren't there? I don't know. 18 19 I'd call them hills, I guess. Α. 20 No. 21 MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Ambiguous. 22 THE WITNESS: That's not true. Well let's start with this. There were 23 O. hills behind one area one side or the other? 24 25 Α. Oh, sure, yeah.

1 Q. And there were open tieicts on the other 2 side of the road? 3 Yeah. But that's where the people 4 roved. 5 Where did they rove? Ο. They roved inside the compound. 6 7 Right. Wasn't one of their functions to Q. 8 make sure people didn't come in from the backside, 9 if you will, as opposed to from the road? 10 No more so than anybody else. 11 Ο. Right. So they were looking at all 12 sides of the perimeter? 13 Yeah. Generally, they probably weren't even in the view of the perimeter. We had a 14 whole -- extremely, I mean hundreds and hundreds 15 16 of thousands of dollars spent on high level 17 security equipment. They could tell if a rabbit hopped by thirty feet away from that fence. 18 19 And there were also hundreds and 0. 20 thousands of dollars minimum spent on, I think, 21 the equipment that we were talking about which was 22 on the premises in both the movie studio and the sound studio or studios? 23

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.

Is that correct? Do you remember

24

25

O.

1 testifying yesterday about -- in tact, you said it was a multi, multi, multi-million sound studio? 2 MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Compound 3 question. 4 MR. FAGELBAUM: Misstates the record. 5 THE WITNESS: I was referring to the 6 building, Mr. Heller, and actually this was prior 7 8 to all that spending frenzy that went on. So I'm not sure exactly how much. That was always the PR 9 story we were told to give the public and the 10 11 local police and so forth as the reason we had the 12 quards, but that wasn't the truth. 13 Well, hold on. Let's concentrate just 0. on this. Was there, in fact, movie equipment on 14 the premises at any point while you were there? 15 16 Certainly. 17 And at any point while you were there, wasn't there rather extensive and expensive 18 19 equipment? I have no way of knowing that. 20 Α. Was there, in fact, sound equipment? 0. 21 Α. Initially? At that time? 22 Yeah. And let me not say sound 23 0. equipment. Recording equipment, I think, a 24

recording studio at any point during the time you

were there? 1 Α. Yeah. But that's much later than the 2 period we're discussing. 3 When was that? 4 Ο. When was it? Α. 5 MR. BRIGHT: When was it? 6 7 Q. The point in time when they got the expensive sound equipment? 8 9 I don't know. That was probably '84, 10 '85. I don't know that. 11 Now you remember testifying yesterday Q. 12 that they had a very expensive recording studio or the 13 A. Building, sure. They spent tons of 14 15 money building it. Q. When was that? 16 17 Α. '85, •85ish. MR. FAGELBAUM: I thought the focus of 18 your questioning now is late '82. Isn't that 19 what you --20 MR. HELLER: Yeah. But I want to try 21 to -- it's my deposition for one thing, but I want 22 to try to put it in time as to when these things 23

Q. I actually misunderstood you. I thought

came about?

24

- we were talking yesterday that you were saying

 that there was rather extensive equipment, whether

 it grew or not during this period when you first

 started there in the fall or winter of '82?
 - A. I can't -- I mean if we want to look it up, I mean you've probably got the transcript,

 But I thought I was referring to looking back on it as I sit here. I thought I was referring to the recording studio that we spent so much money building.
 - Q. There was a film studio, also, wasn't there?
 - A. Yes. We called it a gym because that was the cover story we used with the outsiders.
 - Q. You didn't want outsiders to know you were making films?
 - A. We weren't zoned or otherwise permitted to be doing that type of stuff, so it was a way to get around the law.
 - Q. At one point did you allow outsiders while you were involved to know that films were being made there?
 - A. Yes.

Q. We've now brought ourselves in time chronologically, I think, up to Thanksgiving of

1		1	a	Q	2	2
	ı		ン	O	_	

- A. Maybe even December.
- Q. Maybe December. Did your functions at that point change?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did you start doing then?
- A. Well, there was a big flap where security was considered inadequate. At that point in time there was only maybe, I think, two security guards and all the other positions were held by regular staff who used to have to stand just, you know, two to four hour -- I guess it was four-hour watches.

extremely upset about the security, and so he and DM called me in and ordered me to establish a security force and like gave me forty-eight hours to put together a team of twenty people and all this sort of thing and see to their training.

- Q. What was the actual problem that was conveyed to you with regard to security particularly?
- A. Well, there was a couple of things. One of which, as far as these guys I referred to as RTC Conditions Order 1 and David Mayo and those

kind of things, the guards who were watching over
them and taking turns doing watches and so forth
had been talking to them and being swayed that
possibly they weren't such bad guys after all. So
they were all assigned conditions and gotten in
serious trouble.

The other thing was apparently -- I

don't have all the details. But it was suspected

that somebody might be up in the hills or

something, and Pat Broeker and DM drove up there

carrying uzis and just created a big flap because

the security guards at the time freaked out and

said, you know, hey -- you know, I thought that it

was nuts to be running around the hills with uzis,

and so they were considered as sort of weak

sisters, and just get rid of these assholes and

let's get us some real security.

MR. FAGELBAUM: When you referred to DM, David Miscavige?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.

- Q. Do you remember the names of any of these guards?
 - A. Which ones?

Q. The ones that were overseeing the individuals that were assigned RTC conditions

Order Number i. Let me tinish that. YOU say

started sympathizing with those people. Do you

remember their names at all?

A. There's about three of them. I've got a totally clear picture of them. I can't think of what their damn names were. Oh, I remember one of them. One of them was Matt Peach.

Q. Can you spell that?

A. I think it was spelled Peach, P-e-a-c-h, but I'm not completely sure. And the other one was a buddy of his, I'd say. I don't know if they're buddies. But, anyway -- well, anyway, I can't think of the other two. Matt Peach at the time was the guy supposed to be in charge of security, and he was like -- these guys, again, remember how I referred to how there was no RPF there. They were put -- we had to think up a whole new name of what we did to these people.

But, anyway, they actually joined -that's right. They actually got assigned with -they got put in the same status as those other
guys in RTC Conditions Order Number 1. That's
right. I recall that now.

Q. Getting to the second point you were referring to of going up in the hills with the

uzis, eT cetera. Did you see ttiat nappen.' 1 2 A. No. Pat Broeker and David Miscavige 3 briefed me about it. Q. What did they tell you -- did they tell 4 5 you why they felt that there were people that were up in the hills that were looking in or trying to 6 7 get in or something like that? MR. FAGELBAUM: Misstates the record. 8 9 MR. HELLER: No. That's a question. 10 Did they tell you that? Ο. 11 A. They didn't tell me that anybody was 12 trying to break in because that wasn't the truth. 13 What did they say? Ο. 14 Α. There was somebody up there up in those 15 hills. Q. Did they say why they had some 16 17 indication? 18 A. That's not even on our property, I might 19 add. Q. Right. Did they say why they had some 20 21 indication of that? 22 They had seen them. Α. 23 Q. Were these people who were taking 24 pictures or had some sort of equipment or anything

25

like that?

1		<i>K</i> ~.	No intonation. ~~
2		Q.	But they had seen some people had
3	they	seen	them more than once?
4		Α.	One person.
5		Q.	Oneperson?
6		Α.	Yes.
7		Q.	More than once?
8		Α.	No. To my understanding, it was just
9	the (once.	
10		Q.	You testified a little earlier about
11	some	exte	nsive security equipment that surrounded
12	the j	perime	eter of Gilman?
13		Ā•	¥ 6 5 •
14		Q.	Did you buy that equipment?
15		Α.	No.
16		Q.	As safety officer, were you consulted at
17	all	on the	e purchase of that equipment?
18		Α.	No. I was in a position where I had to
19	fix	th	ey had purchased that along with the
20	guaro	d dogs	s and so forth during the period I was in
21	the 1	RPF.	That equipment had to be actually
22	inst	alled	a total of three times before it
23	actua	ally w	vorked, and I was in the evolution of
24		_	I got out of the RPF.
	1		=

So you helped with the installation to

25

Q.

1 some extent?

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. You mean like physically digging the ditch?
- Q. No. But as supervising and -- well, strike that.
 - A. Actually, the third time, yeah.
- your Marine -- you mentioned off the record you

 were in the Marines. We didn't talk about that on

 the record, but given your Marine experience for

 four years or so and any other military

 experience, do you have any expertise in security

 equipment of this nature?
 - A. Of that particular nature like the fence shakers, no. Other perimeter security, sure.
 - Q. Were there outside security personnel brought in for installation or to repair the security equipment?
 - A. The first time I believe they used an outside company. The second time it was done internally, and the third time it was done internally.
 - Q. Now, a big part of this installation was in anticipation of L. Ron Hubbard coming in that there would be privacy with regard to him being

1	there?
2	A. Oh, yeah.
3	MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.
4	MR. HELLER: No. That was a question.
5	THE WITNESS: There was a constant
6	fear. They wanted him to be able to come there
7	and, you know, be in a position where he would be
8	safe from service of process and that sort of
9	thing. We had to work out escape plans for him
10	and all that.
11	MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Move to
12	strike. No question posed or pending.
1,3	Q. I trust what you just said was in
14	response to that first question I asked?
15	MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Ambiguous.
16	Q. You can answer that.
17	A. (Witness nods head.)
18	Q. You shook your head yes. You have to
19	say yes.
20	A. I don't even remember the question now.
21	Q. I don't know if I cut you off before,
22	but do you remember the name of any of these other
23	guards that ultimately got assigned the RTC
24	Condition Order Number l besides the one you
25	identified, by the name of Matt Peach?

1	MR. FAGELBAUM: Asked and answered.
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	Q. If you do and it comes to you, would you
4	let us know, please?
5	A. Sure.
6	Q. This was in commencement of your
7	explanation as to how your functions changed in
8	Thanksgiving, December, 1982.
9	A. Between
10	MR. BRIGHT: Well, wait a minute.
11	What's the question.
12	MR. HELLER: I haven't asked one yet.
13	He just sort of
14	Q. I assume you wanted to interject
15	something?
16	A. I wanted to clarify something what you
17	were stating there because I said actually brought
18	it up to December. There was some more, a couple
19	of changes there between Thanksgiving and
20	December.
21	MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Move to strike.
22	No question posed or pending.
23	MR. HELLER: I appreciate your
24	assistance.
25	Q. Let's go to Thanksgiving of 1982. Was

that when you started on a security aetail, Jrx I—
may call it that, as you've previously described,
with regard to installation of this security
equipment?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Misstates the record.

MR. HELLER: Again, as you give that objection, Mr. Fagelbaum, that's a question I've asked because it seems to be a little bit unclear.

- Q. And so the question is: Is that --
- A. I couldn't tell you if that was the exact period or not. It was in there somewhere.
- Q. Did you say that your functions changed between Thanksgiving of 1982 and December of 1982?

A. Yeah.

MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Move to strike. Improper question.

- Q. Give me an idea of how those functions changed?
- A. Well, if you remember, I covered yesterday the information about the restricted list and how we weren't allowed to let staff -- we weren't supposed to let staff leave. That started coming into play at least as a function of mine, it was already in existence, but it was not a function of mine. And it was my job to make sure

that none of these people escaped that were in lower conditions and so forth.

3

4

5

б

7

8

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was that one of your functions prior to Thanksgiving of 1982?
- A. I recall it being around that time that I was given those orders.
- Q. So prior to that you didn't have any such order, correct?
- A. Well, prior to that I was very busy
 watching RTC Conditions Order Number 1 personnel.

 It did exist with them prior or not, but just with
 the whole base in general.
- MR. BRIGHT: Well, I'm going to object
 to the way you're conducting this examination.

 There's a nice little conversation going on
- between you and the witness and there's no distinct questions and no distinct answers.
- There's one continuous conversation, and we are not allowed the opportunity to object or, otherwise, control the examination.
 - MR. HELLER: Are you saying you're not allowed the opportunity to object because it's going back and forth so quickly?
 - MR. BRIGHT: Well, there's no distinct question, and there's not a single distinct

answer. It • s]ust a regular conversation. Just
like we're talking outside or off the record.

MR. HELLER: Well, what I was doing when I was sitting aside and listening to both of you because I thought that was happening as well. As you noticed, I made a statement that I moved to strike very often because I didn't have a chance to interject an objection. And I do grant you that -- and I don't want to by saying this, Mr. Aznaran, I don't want you to inhibit from doing so. So I want you to be comfortable in answering. That Mr. Aznaran does answer right after and sometimes during the time you ask a question, but I don't want to say to him hold on, stop, et cetera, because I want to get his answer as best as he's able to give it.

MR. BRIGHT: I understand that. But it's not limited to a question of timing. It's during his answer you're starting to pose other questions and then his answer's continuing and you're starting to answer the earlier phrase, if you want to call it, and it's just going to have to be slowed down so we have an opportunity to participate.

Q. Am I cutting you off at all?

```
WoZ___I'll just -_
          TT.
 2
               And if --
          Q.
          Α.
                   I mean I'll answer it.
 3
               No.
 4
               MR.
                   HELLER:
                             I'm sorry.
               MR. FAGELBAUM: You're doing it right
 5
     now.
 6
 7
               MR. HELLER: That's true.
8
          Ο.
               If you feel I'm cutting you off, please
     let me know and I'll stop. When you stop,
 9
     sometimes I think you've finished your answer and
10
11
     I start a question. Just hold up your hand or say
12
     wait a minute or something like that. Okay?
               Yes.
13
          Α.
14
               MR. HELLER: Thank you.
15
               MR. BRIGHT: How's the court reporter
16
     doing?
17
               THE REPORTER: Fine.
18
               MR. HELLER: Let's take five.
                    (Recess - Time:
                                     10:58-11:02 a.m.).
19
20
          Q.
               We're at, I think, December of 1982.
21
     What were your functions at that point in time?
22
               I had the added function at that point
          Α.
     of opening Christmas presents that were being --
23
     arriving by mail going to staff and make sure that
24
     nobody was being given anything which, you know,
25
```

- might have some Kind 5-f undue influence on them 6-7-2 sway them into any type of thought that, you know, wasn't wanted.
 - Q. Were you still performing your security function?
 - A. This is an additional function. I'm sorry. I'm adding onto things we've already established. I'm not taking away anything.
 - Q. When, to your knowledge, did David Mayo leave the RPF?
 - A. You mean leave period?

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. I guess. Are they contemporaneous events when he left the RPF he left period?
 - A. Well, first of all --

MR. FAGELBAUM: Vague and ambiguous.

MR. BRIGHT: It also misstates the previous testimony. Because, as I recall the testimony, the RPF which is just being established at that location and wasn't formally announced as part of the RPF.

THE WITNESS: It wasn't an actual formal RPF he was on.

Q. Let's go back. Let me reask the question. When did David Mayo leave Scientology period?

	·
1	A. shit. "~
2	MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation. Are
3	you talking now about when he left the physical
4	premises that Rick Aznaran was assigned to or when
5	he was formally expelled from the Church of,
6	Scientology?
7	Q. You understand my question, right?
8	MR. FAGELBAUM: Well, I've got to
9	understand it, or otherwise I'll continue to make
10	the objection as vague and ambiguous.
11	Q. When did he walk out?
12	MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm not going to walk
1,3	out. I have a duty to do here.
14	MR. HELLER: No. That's a question.
15	Q. When did he walk out?
16	A. You guys lost me on that one.
17	Q. When did David Mayo walk out?
18	(Off-the-record discussion.)
19	Q. When did he leave the base?
20	A. I don't know. It was right in there
21	somewhere. I mean I think it was after that. I
22	could be wrong. It was right in there during that
23	period. I don't know. I mean after I believe
24	it was after, but I could be wrong.
25	Q. Would it be to the best of your

recollection during the calendar year ot T9~8~Z7 1 No. I think to the best of my 2 recollection it would have been during the 3 calendar year of '83. Early '83? 5 Ο. But that's just to the best of my 6 7 recollection. 8 Q. To the best of your recollection, would 9 it be early "83? 10 I don't think I'm going to best 11 recollect any further than that. I mean he left 12 when he left. 13 Q. And that goes with the instruction that 14 I gave you earlier about guessing. Did you know 15 he was leaving prior to the time that he left? 16 Α. Yes. And, again, we're talking about walking 17 Ο. 18 out? 19 Α. Yes. 20 How did you know? 0: 21 I think he made it pretty clear. Α, Can you recall how he made it pretty 22 0. clear? 23 Well, I recall that during that period 24

we weren't allowing him to go -- he was wanted to

- go Fo the dentist. HTs teeth were cotnerint him,
 and he was wanting to -- we weren't letting him
 go.
 - Q. Well, remember the question that I asked. I don't want to get back into having a conversation. How did he let you know --

- A. Well, he -- okay. I think there was some kind of problem with his teeth and this, that, and the other, and he was like wanting to leave.
- Q. Do you recall the mode of transport, if any, that he used to leave in?
- A. He had a motorcycle and a car. I believe it was a sports car of some kind.
- Q. Did he take one of those or take one and then come back for the other?
- A. Well, I'm sure he didn't drive them both, so I would say he probably took one and came back for the other.
- Q. As opposed to walking is what I meant? So, as you recall, he took one and came back for the other?
 - A. Yes. We had taken the batteries --
 - Q. Right. We got that far.
 - A. No. But I'm saying we gave them back.

MR. BRIGHT: ___Don't interrupt, Larry.__

This is what we're talking about. Let him finish his answer and then you start with the question.

MR. HELLER: Well, I had a problem,

Gary, because you started accusing us of haying a conversation back and forth, so now what I'm going to do --

MR. BRIGHT: And I'm accusing you of that and that's the exact same thing again.

That's exactly what you're doing. He's in the middle of an answer and you decide you want to pose your question right in the middle of his answer.

MR. HELLER: No. I just want to try to limit at this stage so we don't have these problems with his answer to my question.

MR. BRIGHT: But you can't do it by just interrupting his answer whenever you feel like it. You have to let the answer go on the record. And then if you want to move to strike or whatever as nonresponsive, you can do so or we can do so. Well, let's go back to the last question and finish the answer so that we have a complete answer on the record and then you can go on to your next question.

```
1
               Let's go back to December or TT8T~.
          £T_
2
     Okay?
 3
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
               MR. BRIGHT: Well, then you're striking
 4
5
     your last question?
               MR. HELLER: I'm not doing anything.
 6
     I'm just asking him questions as a deposition.
7
               MR. BRIGHT: Well, then I'm stating for
8
9
     the record you're abusing the process.
10
               MR. HELLER: Okay.
11
          Ο.
               Let's go back to December of 1982.
               Yeah.
12
          Α.
               Did your functions change at that point?
13
          Ο.
               Other than him to open up everybody's
          Α.
14
     Christmas presents going in and out?
15
16
               Yes, sir.
17
               Well, I believe it was during that time
     and continuing on into the following summer I
18
     started -- I believe I told you I had to put
19
     together a security force, and I was seeing to
20
     their training, and all that sort of thing, so I
21
     was heavily into that as well.
22
               Was that during 1983?
23
          Ο.
24
          Α.
               Late '82, '83, right in there.
               And was the security force the security
25
          Ο.
```

1	force 6171E	at Giiman <
2	A.	Yes ·
3	Q.	You acquired a post, if I'm correct,
4	deputy exe	ecutive international for security; is
5	that right	-?····································
6	Α.	I beg your pardon?
7	Q.	Were you ever assigned a post called
8	deputy exe	ecutive international for security at or
9	about mid-	-1982?
10	Α.	I've never even heard of such a post.
11	Q.	So you've never had such a post?
12	Α.	Never.
1,3	Q.	Did you supervise or engage in the
14	establishm	ment of any security in 1983 other than
15	that at G	iiman Hot Springs?
16	A.	The next one I did was Los Angeles and I
17	don't reco	ollect at this point whether it was '83
18	or '84.	
19	Q.	But it was one of those two years?
20	Α.	Yeah.
21	Q.	Anything so far that we have missed with
22	regard to	your functions in 1983?
23	Α.	Well, see, a lot of these things
24		MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object as
25	amhiguoug	ļ

Q. Ee"t~"me restate the question. Have you—
now given me all of your functions as safety
officer, which was the post that you say you held
in 1983 or are there others?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Objection. I thought you were going through his functions in 1982.

MR. HELLER: No. We have now gone into I think 1983 and he's been a little bit vague as to when he began supervising -- establishing a security force. He said he believes it was '83, '84, and he doesn't want to pin himself on which year.

- Q. So I want to stay with '83, and ask whether there's anything else that you did?
- A. I'm sure there is, but nothing I recollect right this second. I'm sure there's quite a bit I did.
- Q. Were you stationed continuously throughout 1983 at Gilman Hot Springs?
- A. That I don't recall either. There was some point in there where I started, like I told you, taking on responsibilities in Los Angeles.
- Q. Let's go to that point which you recollect.
 - A. Ah. (Indicating.)

<S~. That's tine. Have you now remembered 1 2 something else that you did in 1983? Yes. 3 Α. Ο. What is it? 4 I was made LRH gun IC and put in gharge 5 Α. of Hubbard's guns and the purchasing of guns and 6 ammunition and all that. 7 8 Q. For his personal use when he came as 9 anticipated to Gilman Hot Springs? No. For his personal use at his remote 10 location. 11 12 When was that about? Ο. That would have been during '83. 13 Now, I believe you testified you went to 14 Q. Los Angeles in '83 or '.84, correct? 15 16 Α. Uh-huh, yes. Did you change posts when you did that? 17 Q. I simply expanded the functions of No. 18 Α. 19 the post that I already had. Q. Expanded them to the Los Angeles area? 20 21 Α. Yeah. The blue building area? 22 Q. All of the Los Angeles Scientology 23 24 structures. And would you characterize yourself as 25 Q.

supervising security at tfffe Los AngelesScientology structures?

- A. Establishing security initially and then supervising it. I, also, did security missions.

 It was also during 198 -- is this okay? Can I still answer?
- Q. Well, if it's in response to the question that I asked, yes. I'd like to keep you responsive to the question and I'm going to try not to cut you off. But when you asked is it okay, and then you looked at Mr. Bright and you're --
 - A. I was looking at my attorney.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. If you're responding to the question, yes.
- A. Also, during '83 I touched on yesterday doing security for events for the senior execs and ASI and Scientology. Also, I'm not quite sure if it was '83 or it may have been '84 when we were required to provide sea organization security guards for ASI at their building, whatever the hell it was, Sunset. We're covering a whole year here sort of --
- Q. I understand. And what we're covering here is as you best remember it the '83, '84

- period, time periodJ"
- A. Yeah.

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Can you tell me your best understanding during the time period of 1983, '84 who the officers of Author Services, Incorporated were?
 - A. The officers?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. You're talking about like separate from Scientology titles and who had power?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. I had no idea who their officers were.
 - Q. Can you tell me during the 1983, '84 period the names of the directors of Author Services, Incorporated?
 - A. Again, I know who wielded the power. I don't know what formal seats somebody may or may not have had, other than David Miscavige, who I already testified was chairman of the board.
 - Q. Same question with regard to Church of Scientology International for 1983, '84. Do you know the officers?
 - A. I do happen to know that one because there was a big flap. Heber Jentzsch was president, but he didn't know who any of the other officers were.

1	§1 Do you know any orner orricers besides
2	Mr. Jentzsch as president?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you know any directors of Church of
5	Scientology International during 1983 or 1984?
6	A. No. And was that international that we
7	were just talking about?
8	MR. HELLER: I thought I said that.
9	MR. FARNY: Uh-huh.
LO	Q. Yes.
L1	A. Actually okay. I don't know, no.
12	Q. Do you know the names of any of the
L3	officers of Church of Scientology of California
L4	during 1983 and 1984?
15	A. No, Mr. Heller, and I feel obligated to
16	explain my answer simply so that I don't look like
17	an idiot. I mean those things didn't have
18	anything to do with real life.
1 9	Q. I think you've testified to a great
2 0	extent to that. I kind of just want to know if
21	you know that. The same question
2 2	A. The other officers didn't even know who
2 3	the other officers were.
2 4	Q. Same question as to directors?

25

Α.

No.

1 <\$~. And that's Church of Scientology</pre> 2 California, you understand? 3 Α. You can say all of them. 4 0. Well, I'm going to. 5 Α. Okay. 6 MS. McRAE: Well, I don't think it's 7 necessary since he just answered that he doesn't 8 know any of them. MR. HELLER: I only have two to go. 9 MS. McRAE: Well, you're burning up your 10 11 time, Mr. Heller, and I really hadn't seen any real cross from the direct yet, maybe fifteen 12 minutes touched on Rory Wagner and the 13 investigation in the orient, and then he tried to 14 start talking about David Mayo's car, which was 15 directly in response to their direct, and you 16 interrupted his answer. So I mean I don't see 17 this post stuff for two hours is -- I don't see it 18 as very relevant here, and I see it as wasting a 19 bunch of time. 20 21 MR. BRIGHT: Well, Counsel, you're going 22 to have to keep closer track of time because we're

to have to keep closer track of time because we're much closer to four hours on post stuff, but let's go on with more.

23

24

25

MR. HELLER: It's the first time I've

taken a deposition where i • ve ceen told, gee, you

can't ask the questions you want to ask. I

suppose you live and learn, don't you?

- Q. Church of Spirit Technology, do you knowf) any of their officers for 1983, 1984?
- A. The name I tried to remember yesterday

 Dan Prabilsky, (phonetic), I remember when he was

 chosen as running the place, but I don't recall

 whether -- I mean other than his obvious title of

 commanding officer, whether he was a director or

 officer.
- Q. And last one, Religious Technology
 Center, do you know any of other directors or
 officers by name?
- A. No. But I also have to answer just to cover the questions you've already asked that it was during this period I know that Pat Broeker was officers on several of these things and it was during this period that David Miscavige talked hirt into resigning those posts in order to prevent any possible threat to himself and Hubbard.
- Q. Do you know what particular offices
 Mr. Broeker held within these or in which
 particular corporation he held such an office?
 - A. I believe it was several, but I know

- thatit was -- he wielded a lot of the actual corporate authority or power or whatever.
 - Q. And your answer for Religious Technology
 Center for '83, '84 is you don't know any names of
 any actual people or holding the capacity of
 officers or directors?
 - A. I don't know. Vicki was an officer and I think she was president. And Jesse was an officer, and Warren McShane was an officer, but I can't tell you a hundred percent for sure that that was '83, '84. David Miscavige, as I recall, was also a -- whatever you call it, a trustee, but I don't recall if that was '83, '84.
 - Q. Of?

- A. RTC.
- Q. Do you know the reasons why Church of Spiritual Technology was initially incorporated or set up?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. And why is that?
- A. Well, it was two things. Number one, it was to make sure there was somebody who could take the trademarks and so forth away from RTC, and it was also set up so that there was some long-term kind of thing LRH had come up with a long time ago

1	about protecting aTT HTs worTcsT ~~
2	Q. Like preserving them?
3	A. Yeah. Didn't want them to get lost, you.
4	know.
5	Q. Right. Going through the certain
6	methods of making sure that they're kept so that
7	they don't degenerate over time, that type of
8	thing?
9	A. It was totally weird. I mean it was
10	like you had to have bomb shelters and all kinds
11	of weird shit.
12	Q. How were they going to, as you say, take
13	the trademarks from RTC, do you know the method by
14	which they were going to do that?
15	MR. BRIGHT: Would you read that back
16	again?
17	(Question read.)
18	MR. BRIGHT: What's with the they? What
19	does they refer to, Counsel?
20	MR. HELLER: CST, I. guess. I suppose.
21	That's what I think I said.
22	MR. BRIGHT: Well, if you have to guess,
23	how is everybody supposed to know what you're
24	referring to?
25	Q. How was CST going to take the trademarks

```
1
     from RTCY
2
          Α.
                It was some kind of deal where they had
3
     for a buck or something they could get them.
 4
               And who told you that or how do you know
          Ο.
 5
     that?
 6
          Α.
               Oh, it was common knowledge when it was
 7
     set up. Well, not common knowledge amongst
 8
     everybody, but amongst senior RTC execs.
                Did anyone particularly tell you?
 9
          Ο.
                I was there when Jesse and Vicki and
10
     David Miscavige were discussing it.
11
               MR. BRIGHT: Let's use full names.
12
     We're talking Jesse Prince, Vicki Aznaran, and
13
     David Miscavige, correct?
14
15
                THE WITNESS: - That's correct.
                In 1984 were you posted to a title known
          0.
16
     as deputy investigations security OSA Int.?
17
                Never heard of such post.
18
          Α.
                    (Off-the-record discussion.)
19
20
                Let me restate the question. Were you
          Q.
     assigned to a post called deputy inves secretary
21
     OSA Int.?
22
23
          Α.
               When?
24
          0.
               1984.
                If that's short for deputy
25
          Α.
```

investigations secretary OSA international, TT. 1 2 would have been somewhere around that time, yes. And earlier I asked you whether you had 3 4 been assigned to a post deputy establishment --5 MR. FARNY: Uh-huh, executive. 6 0. -- executive international? I asked 7 another title. So were you at any other earlier 8 time assigned to a post deputy establishment 9 executive international? 10 MR. BRIGHT: Can I have that question 11 again and in its entirety? 12 MR. HELLER: Well, it was slightly different last time, the title that I gave, and he 13 said he'd never heard of it. So that's what I 14 15 reasked. 16 Q. Do you remember the question? 17 Α. Yeah. 18 MR. BRIGHT: Well, I don't remember it. 19 Read back the question. She's searching for a question on the record. The last question she 20 can't even find and this has been the form of the 21 whole deposition, narrative revisited. 22 23 MR. HELLER: I asked a very specific 24 question. Were you posted to --

MR. BRIGHT: It's so specific she can't

```
1
     even find i7T\sim.
 2
               MR. HELLER: Read it. You've never seen
 3
     a court reporter look for a question before, huh?
 4
               MR. BRIGHT: Not for the last one. I've
 5
     never seen one not being able to find it. Let's
 6
     put it that way.
7
               MR. HELLER: Go ahead. Read what you
8
     have.
9
                    (Question read.)
               MR. BRIGHT: Well, that's clear.
10
11
               MR. HELLER: I'll reask.
12
          Q.
               Were you ever assigned to a post deputy
13
     establishment executive international?
14
               MR. HELLER: Is that good enough for
15
     you, Mr. Bright?
16
               MR. BRIGHT: No objection.
17
               THE WITNESS: That's pretty close.
            When was that?
18
          Q.
               That would have been between the time
19
20
     when I was safety officer RTC and the time when I
     was deputy investigations secretary OSA
21
22
     international.
            1984?
23
          Q.
24
               I think. Yeah.
          Α.
25
               What were your functions as deputy
          O.
```

1 investigator (sic) secretary osA int.i " 2 Α. Deputy investigations secretary. Deputy investigations, exactly. 3 0. Initially, when the investigations 4 division, which is the intelligence arm of OSA --5 or intelligence gathering arm of OSA 6 international, I was made deputy to Linda Hamill, 7 and this was late -- let's see, late '84, as I 8 recall. And one of my initial functions was 9 establishing an intelligence network based on 10 military intelligence type of operations to 11 investigate enemies of Scientology, as well as 12 continuing to oversee security. 13 14 Was this in Los Angeles where you were Ο. posted? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 How long did you hold that post? Q. 18 Α. Right around six months or so, I guess. 19 Can you give me a year? Q. 20 '85. Α. 21 Did you also have a post in 1985 called 0. 22 information collection officer?

I don't believe so. In fact, no.

Have you ever heard of the post?

Sounds like a post they'd have, but I

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

don't know right this second.

б

Q. If 1985 were you ever posted as special security officer?

MS. McRAE: Mr. Heller, you know, you've been asking about these posts all day yesterday and today, and it would be one thing if you were getting to a question or you're going to get somewhere after you've established his posts. I don't know if you're trying to establish his qualifications to testify or what. If you'll just tell me where you're going, but this man's got to make a living.

I'm here to see that the discovery is not abused, and it's not dragged out for days and days and days like when they didn't have a lawyer. And so I want you to have your opportunity to cross-examine. We don't want to come back and we don't want to sit through days and days again.

MR. HELLER: Well, let me make two statements that might make you feel better. One, I'm trying to get his history. Two, I intend to finish with him today. Okay. So I'm not going to promise, but I fully intend to, and I think I'm going to -- very strongly think I'm going to. So

I 'm not going to asjc mm to come Joacx, Tt that
makes you feel better.

And I told you this yesterday, by the way off the record when we finished, I said without making a promise, I intend to finish him today.

MS. McRAE: Well, if you're not getting anywhere with the questions, I don't even see using up the rest of the day.

MR. HELLER: A) I'm getting his history and (B) I would say that we spent probably the first hour and a half yesterday going through his different posts. And let's assume if you put aside -- I examined him for about an hour and a half, two hours yesterday and probably half of that was with the orient. We've been here about an hour and a half now. So I figured I've spent about tops two hours on his posts, so I'm not too much beyond in my cross-examination the amount of time that was spent on his post yesterday. I'm pretty close to being finished.

And, as I said, without an absolute definite promise, I'm not going to ask him to come back. And I know that's your concern and I know he's his away from his employment, and I assume

that's your major concern and hoperuily I cram

assuage that.

MS. McRAE: I would have hoped that you could have completed both my clients this week, though, too. And I don't, you know, I don't see wasting this time and it's not just Richard, but it's Vicki, too.

MR. HELLER: Okay. Ms. McRae, I'm going to really have, when you come down to it and maybe if I go through the day today, I'm going to have a drop more than a day with him. I don't think that's unreasonable.

MR. BRIGHT: I think it's unreasonable.

MR. HELLER: It is?

MS. McRAE: It just depends on what you're asking. I mean three weeks might not be unreasonable, but two hours might be.

MR. FAGELBAUM: I think you've reached a point where it is unreasonable. I think it is a clear record that we've been engaged in for the last several hours is a stall. Now get him onto the substantive areas of the testimony, so that we can having something here that we can all utilize. But what you're doing here is wasting everybody's time in a clearly designed effort to

stallthe proceedings. Let s not waste our Lime
anymore. Why don't you get to the meat of what
you came here to develop on cross-examination?

MR. HELLER: Excuse me. You guys didn't ask him about his post for about an hour and a half yesterday? You guys haven't examined Vicki Aznaran now for -- and for the record, Ms. McRae, I've examined Mrs. Aznaran for, I'd say, less than two hours. The other examination by these gentlemen who represent the Defendants and Cross-Claimants has been in my recollection four and a half days. Okay.

Now I'm sitting here and I'm going through a day with Mr. Aznaran and I'm giving you what I think are strong assurances that I'm not even going to ask him back, and I'm getting heat for that.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Mr. Heller, we're talking about Mr. Aznaran's deposition, the total direct examination of which lasted just about four hours. You've had cross-examination that almost equals four hours, and you're talking about going in addition to that. I think that's unreasonable. You haven't even covered the subjects of the direct. You spent all your time

on extraneous areas. Why don't we just stop the record posturing and get on with the testimony and get to the meat of the cross-examination.

MR. HELLER: Well, because I'm going to make my record. Number one, I'm going through his posts. I have every right and I've already identified him in three or four posts that weren't covered when you did his post history. And I want to be complete on this. And, number two, as we discussed, we talked for probably about forty-five minutes or an hour about the orient yesterday.

Today we went through probably for a good half hour plus besides his posts, the RPF and we went through Mr. Aznaran's RPF experiences, which I thought we were pretty much in agreement was relevant to this lawsuit because you have characterized the RPF through your testimony.

And it's patently unbelievable that you think that me sitting here and examining a witness for a day, who you have called, is too long a time and doing it in my fashion. Okay. It is patently unbelieve.

THE WITNESS: Just everybody. One second. That's it. I'm going to say something.

I mean I'm sitting here getting discussed like I'm

1	a dead body or something. I'm just saying one
2	thing. Number one, it's a well-known fact the
3	church strategy per policy is to make it as
4	difficult and as harassive as possible for anybody
5	involved in Scientology litigation. Number two,
6	the fact that you guys who don't know anything ask
7	me about my posts to me makes sense. The fact
8	that I've been interrogated about my post through
9	God knows how many lawsuits and how many days by
10	people who have documented and can tell you what
11	time of fucking day I fucking got moved from one
12	post to another post, and you to sit here and
13	fucking spend two hours asking me. To me, that's
14	two separate fucking things. You guys got
15	documented evidence of every fucking thing I did
16	for fifteen God damn years, so anyway, end of
17	fucking scene.

MR. BRIGHT: Let's take a break.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HELLER: One second until we do. You have to understand, Mr. Aznaran, I very well could be limiting and probably am to what I get in this case and this deposition, number one --

THE WITNESS: That's because your fucking clients don't give it to you. It ain't my God damn fault.

```
1
               WW. BRIGHT:
                             Karen, take a break.
 2
               MR. HELLER:
                            No. I need it.
                                              That's in
 3
     evidentiary and number two --
 4
                             Let's take a break.
               MR.
                   BRIGHT:
 5
               MR. HELLER:
                             No. Let's don't go off the
 б
     record.
 7
                  McRAE: Well, we're going to.
               MS.
 8
               MR. BRIGHT:
                            We're going to take a --
 9
               MR. HELLER: Okay. Let's go off the
10
     record.
11
                    (Recess - Time: 11:25-11:32 a.m.)
12
               I don't know if you answered this
          Ο.
13
     guestion and let me ask it again. Were you ever
14
     assigned the post of special security officer?
15
          Α.
               I don't recall. What?
16
               Special security officer?
          Ο.
17
          Α.
               No.
               This would have been in mid-1985?
18
          Q.
               I don't recall. Wait a minute. Wait a
19
20
     minute. When I went CMO gold that might have been
     the title.
21
               That's where it would be?
22
          Ο.
               Yeah.
23
          Α.
               In 1985 -- and we're just going to move
24
          Ο.
     like light speed ahead here. We're going to the
25
```

fall, winter of 1985. Were you sent on a mission 1 to observe international finance security? 2 3 Huh? Α. Q. Were you sent on a mission to observe 4 international finance security? 5 MR. BRIGHT: Objection. Ambiguous. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It was something like that, now that -- I hadn't thought about that 8 in years. It was some kind of mission there. 9 10 Yeah, I had to go do an Ops mission on the finance 11 office, yeah. Where was that located? 12 Q. That was in the HQ there at Gilman, HQ 13 being the building the CMO was located in. 14 15 Q. How long were you on that mission? Being an Ops mission, I'd say it was 16 17 probably just a few days. 18 Q. That's in Los Angeles, correct? 19 Negative. It was in Gilman. Although, I think part of the mission was in LA. I forgot 20 about that. 21 22 You testified on direct examination that Ο. you attended certain meetings in various locations 23 24 including ASI, RTC, OSA, Gilman, and then

generally Los Angeles. Do you recall that?

j£ Vean.

- Q. I want to go through those meetings?
- A. Sure.
- Q. And let's start with Author Services,
 Incorporated. First, what I want to try to,do is
 break up the meetings. Can you recall the first
 meeting that you attended at Author Services,
 Incorporated, where the subject matter was either
 the AAC, John Nelson or David Mayo?
 - A. I'm not sure I can recall the first one as separate from other meetings, no. And, again, from yesterday I wish you guys would tell me -- define meeting. I mean the fact that we talked about that subject, is that a meeting or does it have to be like -- you know.
 - Q. Your testimony was that there were particular meetings, and I want to define meetings for our purpose, and see if your testimony remains the same as people getting together in a room or over a meal and discussing particular topics which has a definite start and a definite stop.

MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object to all your instructions of the witness, particularly where your alleged question includes your definition of what he testified to. That is

1 clearly improper and you've been an attorney long enough to know that. 2 3 MR. HELLER: If that's what I said, then you misunderstood my intent. 4 For our purposes when I ask you about 5 Ο. 6 meetings, I'm talking about where people get 7 together to discuss particular topics and there is a beginning and an end to this get together. Can 8 you understand that generally? 9 10 MR. FAGELBAUM: It's vaque and 11 ambiquous. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. But a second ago you included like over dinner. 13 Yes. Getting together over a meal, in a 14 15 conference room. Okay. So we're talking about a loose 16 definition here? 17 Now, I want to talk about meetings where 18 Q. the AAC, David Mayo, John Nelson or the AAC 19 personnel were discussed. 20 21 Α. Okay. How many such meetings do you recall at 22 Author Services, Incorporated? 23

Using that loose definition, I'd say at

Α.

least six, eight, maybe ten.

24

1	(JI ATId these were aTI meetings that yTTu"
2	attended?
3	A. Yes. Meaning I was present, yes.
4	Q. When was the first of such meetings?
5	A. I believe it would have probably been
6	• 83 .
7	Q. Can you identify with anymore
8	particularity when in 1983?
9	A. I would say probably the latter half.
10	Q. Can you tell me who was at that
11	particular meeting that you're talking about?
12	A. I told you I can't single out one
1,3	particular meeting as being the first.
14	Q. Well, you've identified this meeting, a
15	first meeting in the latter half can you
16	A. I'm talking about the general period
17	meetings, the first meeting would have been in
18	that general period.
19	Q. During that general period, can you
20	recall who was at that first meeting?
21	MR. BRIGHT: I object. He just said he
22	cannot delineate a first meeting. He said the
23	meetings would have commenced in that period, but
24	he does not have a specific meeting in mind when
25	he answers the question.

I

- 1 Q\ Are you able f'o answer my question.'
- 2 I think that that was what I covered Α. yesterday as being David Miscavige, Norman 3 4 Starkey, Lyman Spurlock, myself, Marty Rathbun, 5 Jesse Prince, possibly Vicki Aznaran, and not all of those people would have attended those 6 7 meetings. But those are the people that would 8 have been present just as a general -- during 9 these discussions there at
 - Q. It's your testimony that you can't say that these people were particularly at the first meeting?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I can't say the first meeting was these four people. The second meeting was these three, the fourth meeting was.these five. I mean, no. can tell you those were the people who were generally around the area present.
- Q. Given this first or first few meetings in the latter part of 1983, can you recall specifically what was discussed?
 - A. Specifically or generally?
 - Q. As specific as you can get.
- A. I can generally tell you that there was a great deal of upset over Mayo and Nelson's establishment of the AAC, Harvey Haber, and Dede,

Ithinx those rour being rne ones targeted aL most of the upset, and the fact that their apparent success, and what could be done to prevent their continued success. And as usual David Miscavige would be yelling and ranting and raving and generally communicating things that I certainly hoped he didn't mean.

- Q. At that point in time the AAC was already in existence?
- A. If my time is correct. I mean I may be wrong in the meetings, but the AAC was definitely in existence at the time of the meetings.
- Q. Can you recall, and I'm now concentrating on this first meeting or these first meetings in the latter half of 1983 at ASI, can you recall what information about the AAC was discussed?
- A. Only in a general sense. And the discussion -- any of those discussions concerning Mayo, Miscavige, David Miscavige, and Norman would always make comments concerning that information out of his PC folders that we discussed yesterday concerning his manhood. And there would be comments about all of them derogatory about them, their people, their staff, the people who went

there, later times cases they were involved with them.

I remember there was some kind of -- I can't remember the significance of it, but it was something about a picture of Mayo with a cat.

That was the subject of a lot of humor, I guess, bouncing around information that had come to us through trojan horse, personal things about Dede Reisdorf, I think her name was, and these other people, the intelligence that came out about Nelson's planned trip to the orient, plans to send people out there to disrupt their Sunday services and their barbecues, derogatory remarks and comments concerning Mayo's taped lectures, tape-recorded lectures that he would give on the Sunday services. That's all I can think of right this second.

- Q. Okay. Now, as you were giving me these various things that were discussed, is it your testimony that these were things that were discussed at all of the meetings at various times that took place at ASI?
 - A. Yes.

Q. As opposed to discussed at the meetings which took place in late 1983?

A~. Wb~. I'm sorry. Let me clarity -char.

What I'm talking about is information just that I got from attendance from all these different meetings. If I may, I just want to -- let's see.

I was not like a player in the dealings with the AAC, so these aren't things that stood out. I was not myself given specific orders or doing things or anything like that. This would just be talking, you know, everybody talking about all these different subjects. So it wasn't like a formal what they call battle plan that I sat in on.

- Q. Are you saying that plans were not made at these meetings with regard to things to do at the AAC or to the AAC?
 - A. Oh, informally, yeah.

- Q. But when you say informally, what do you mean?
- A. As opposed to, you know, sitting down and writing a quote, unquote program or whatever.
- Q. Are you able -- of the six to eight meetings that you kind of recall at ASI, can you tell me how many of them occurred in the latter half of 1983?

 ${\tt MR.}$ FAGELBAUM: Misstates the record as

1 the number or meetings. 2 MR. HELLER: All right. Well, strike 3 that. 4 How many meetings did you say you 5 recall? 6 I don't know. Whatever I said is what I Α. 7 said. But, no, to answer your question. 8 Q. Did some of these meetings occur in 9 1984? 10 Probably. Α. 11 Q. Did any of these meetings occur in 1985? Could be. 12 Α. 13 Did any of them occur in 1986? Q. No. I don't think so. No. I know so. 14 Α. 15 No. They did not? 16 O. 17 Α. No. Did any of them occur in the first half 18 of 1983? 19 20 MR. BRIGHT: You know, I'm going to object. You've asked for the timing of this over 21 and over again. You must have twenty questions as 22 to when they occurred and obviously his 23 recollection was he could only vaguely place them 24 as the latter half of 1983 and into 1984 and into 25

1 1985, and as you've already estaJDiisnea. Now you 2 want to go back to 1983 and start all over again? 3 MR. HELLER: No, latter half. And now I'm asking if any occurred in the early part of 5

1983.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRIGHT: You've already asked him when did the first one occur and he can only vaguely put it as the latter half of 1983. You've already established his knowledge on the subject. You've exhausted it and now you want to go back all over it again and ask the same questions. Asked and answered.

- Did any of them occur in the first half of 1983?
- There were discussions about Mayo in the first half of '83. I don't recall the AAC in the first half of '83, but, again, I could be wrong, like I told you.
- Was there any particular time period where more of these meetings occurred such as most of them occurred in late '83 or during '84 or something like that?
- I remember that as far as the meetings over at RTC in LA there was a period there where --

1	Q~. I'm sorry. And I don't mean to ~~
2	interrupt, but we are concentrating on the
3	meetings at ASI?
4	A. Okay. I guess not.
5	Q. You can't localize a group of meetings
6	in any particular time period?
7	A. No. I'd be trying to guess.
8	Q. I understand your prior testimony that
9	you're not sure some people may have attended some
10	of the meetings and some people may have attended
11	others of the group people you have identified; is
12	that correct?
1,3	A. Sure.
14	Q. Can you by way of time period tell me
15	any individuals who may have attended meetings at
16	a certain time period but not at a certain other
17	time period?
18	A. No. Those people
19	MR. BRIGHT: Wait. Wait. Let me have
20	that question back.
21	(Question read.)
22	MR. BRIGHT: Objection.
23	Unintelligible. Ambiguous.
24	Q. Do you understand it or do you want me
25	to rephrase it?

- $A\sim$. it'd probably be better "to repnrase.
 - Q. Were there certain people that attended meetings during a certain time period but not at another time period?
 - A. Not of the people --

MR. BRIGHT: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Not of the people I recall. There may have been other bit players, I guess, may have been in and out of the meetings and stuff.

- Q. Now you've used the term that -- or you've testified that you are not a player at these meetings. Define what you mean by being a player.
- A. Being involved in either strategic or tactical planning, execution, et cetera, of operations against Mayo and the AAC.
- Q. Were there particularly individuals of the ones that you have identified that initiated any plans concerning the AAC?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which would those be?
- A. David Miscavige who issued orders and approved things that were being done against the AAC, Norman Starkey, Lyman Spurlock, Marty

Rathbun, vicki Aznaran, Jesse Prince, Greg
Rierson, Warren McShane, Mike Sutter, who is by
the way the Mike I referred to yesterday as over
OSA international and I couldn't remember his last
name. Those were all people who were involved and
that sort of thing.

- Q. Now, you previously identified certain people as being at these meetings and you've now added a couple of people, Greg Rierson, Warren McShane, and Mike Sutter. So I understand they were at some of these meetings at ASI?
- A. No. I'm sorry. I thought you were talking about the meetings just in general.
- Q. Again, bringing you back, I now want to concentrate at this stage just at these ASI meetings.
- A. I don't recall Greg or Warren at the ASI meetings, no, or Mike Sutter.
- Q. So the players at the ASI meetings would have been David Miscavige, Norman Starkey, Lyman Spurlock, Vicki Aznaran, Jesse Prince?
- A. Yes. And the ranking or however you want to put it together, I mean the people over at ASI -- the ASI staff would have been -- Jesse and Vicki would have been more reporting to them as to

- what was going on as opposed to the other way around.
 - Q. Were Jesse and Vicki basically running the operations?
 - A. Under those other people.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Were there specific orders given at these meetings to Jesse Prince or Vicki Aznaran with regard to doing something at or to the AAC or any other individuals associated with it?
- A. I guess the answer kind of hinges on the word specific. I mean like I told you about David Miscavige ranting and raving about fuck those people up and things like that. That's not exactly specific.
- Q. When I say specific, I mean I want you to go and do a particular thing?
- A. Not that I recall. It was more expressing either pleasure or displeasure at whatever they were being reported to about.
- Q. Would you say that David Miscavige had a generally pissed off attitude towards the AAC and the personnel at these meetings?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Vague and ambiguous as to the definition of pissed off.

THE WITNESS: I was going to clarify

1	that myself
2	Q. Mad as hell and not taking it anymore?
3	A. More like psychotic probably.
4	Q. Did he express to you why he was angry
5	at the AAC and their personnel?
6	A. The fact that they were even there was
7	like a personal affront.
8	Q. Because they were using Scientology
9	Technology?
10	A. Because they were successful,
11	successfully using Scientology Technology and
12	competing basically for the business.
13	Q. Was it your belief at or about the time
14	at least at these initial meetings at Author
15	Services, Incorporated, that the AAC was utilizing
16	Scientology Technology or a derivative thereof
17	that had been stolen from Scientology?
18	MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.
19	THE WITNESS: Say that again.
20	MR. HELLER: Could I have it read back,
21	please?
22	(Question read.)
23	MR. FAGELBAUM: Also compound.
24	MR. BRIGHT: I join.
25	THE WITNESS: The easiest way to answer

that is to give you an exact example mat was actually given during that period of time, which was these trademarks and so forth. They would discuss them and compare them to actually like the Catholic Church and actually make comments that if trademark laws had been in effect back then, none of these other people would have been able to splinter from the Catholic Church and start Lutheran and Calvan or Calvanists. You know, there wouldn't be a separate Christianity as opposed to the original Catholic Church.

So it was more like just a successful splintering and, you know, it was just viewed as unconfrontable, unacceptable.

- Q. Let me bring you back to the actual question which was asked: Was it your understanding at that time that the services being delivered by the AAC derived from materials which had been stolen from Scientology?
- A. You're talking about actually physical materials, not just ideas, concepts and so forth?
 - Q. Physical materials.
 - A. I have no idea.

MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object. You have to define what services you're referring to.

- You haven't even made an attempt £15 d"o TTT.
 - Q. Go ahead.

- A. I think I said it, but whatever it is I was going to say.
- Q. You were talking about physical materials.

MR. BRIGHT: Wait. Wait a minute.

What's the question now? Now that you've had this little exchange, what's the question you want him to answer?

MR. HELLER: I asked a question which you objected to materials. Prior to your objection, he said are you talking about physical materials, and I said, yes, meaning when you say physical materials, written materials, I take it is what we're discussing. And if you want me to reask the question in that vein, I'll be happy to, THE WITNESS: No. I think I can answer it.

MR. HELLER: I think so, too, but --

MR. BRIGHT: No. Wait a minute. I want a question. Just a simple question with no predicates and with no explanation of Counsel.

MR. HELLER: Well, why don't you listen. If you'll listen, you'll hear it.

WET.-BRIGHT:___1 have been listening.___f
mean that's the problem.

MR. HELLER: I asked him a question. I said: Was it your understanding that the services which were being delivered by the AAC were derived from materials stolen from Scientology. He said do you mean physical materials. I said, yes.

You've got two objections in there. I don't think you heard the question. I think it's a question.

He asked for a further explanation. I gave it to him.

MR. BRIGHT: And then you want him to go back three questions and start answering again and that's what I'm objecting to.

MR. HELLER: I did not. We were at the physical materials and he understands it. And then I said to make everybody happy, I'll be happy to reask it in that manner.

MR. BRIGHT: Fine.

Q. I think you understand the question now. Let me reask. Was your understanding at the time of these initial meetings at Author Services, Incorporated, that services were being delivered by the AAC that derived from physical materials, meaning written materials, that had been stolen

1 from Scientology.'

б

MR. BRIGHT: Objections as previously noted.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The answer to that question is yes, but I have to say one other thing because our thinking would not be the thinking of a reasonable person. Our thought was that even though they may go down and buy these books, in other words, this is not stolen materials.

They can go down there and buy a

Dianetics book. The fact that they would then

even though the book itself encourages you to

deliver Dianetics auditing, the fact that they

would then deliver Dianetics auditing would be

viewed in the church as, yes, they stole that.

But I mean there's nothing stolen there. So I

mean they thought it, yeah, but really, no.

Q. Was it your understanding at the time of these initial meetings at Author Services,
Incorporated, that the AAC was delivering upper level or NOTs services predicated upon material that had been stolen from Scientology?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Objection. Are you saying his opinion?

MR. HELLER: Yes, sir. I said his

1 understanding. MR. BRIGHT: That's one objection. 2 MR. HELLER: Well, what's objectionable 3 about that? 4 Let me get to it. You're MR. BRIGHT: 5 saying upper level or NOTs? It's got to be one or 6 the other. 7 8 MR. HELLER: Yes. Let's start with 9 upper level. MR. BRIGHT: There's many upper level 10 services in addition to NOTs. So your question is 11 inherently compound. 12 13 Let's start with NOTs? Ο. Well, what was the question? 14 Α. Let me reask.. Was it your understanding 15 Ο. at the time of these initial meetings you've 16 17 testified about at Author Services, Incorporated, that the AAC was delivering services predicated 18 upon material stolen from -- NOTs materials stolen 19 from Scientology? 20 21 Α. (No response.) 22 MR. HELLER: Do you need it read back? MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation. 23 THE WITNESS: No. I think I understand 24 it, but I don't think I'm in a position to able

to - I mean Tn a position the like say give an

opinion, a legal opinion, anyway, as to whether

those things were stolen or not stolen and who was

the rightful owner and who wasn't and all that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HELLER: Let me reread the question and ask that.

THE WITNESS: Stolen being a word
meaning that I'm taking something that belongs to
you? I don't know who they really belonged to. I
don't know if that's even been established.

MR. HELLER: Let me reread the question and listen to it carefully because I didn't ask for any of that.

(Question read.)

THE WITNESS: . Okay. In my viewpoint at that time, was I would have thought that that was the case, yes.

MR. HELLER: Do you want to take a lunch? It's about ten or a quarter after or something.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Mr. Heller, you've now in the course of your cross-examination exceeded the entire length of time that both Mr. Bright and myself had on direct exam. You've gone far afield. You've asked a number of questions that

had absolutely nothing to do with direct. it's apparent and the record's going to reflect it that you're trying to stall this deposition. You're trying to drag out this deposition, so that there will be no opportunity to resume Vicki Aznaran¹s deposition this afternoon.

б

The record should reflect that that's our feeling, that you've been given the opportunity right now to adjourn this deposition because you've had plenty of time to conduct your cross-examination and resume the deposition of Vicki Aznaran this afternoon. She's available. Counsel is available. All the attorneys and other personnel in this room are available to continue with Vicki Aznaran.

MR. BRIGHT: Specifically his cross of Vicki Aznaran.

MR. FAGELBAUM: That's right. You're going to have your opportunity to continue your cross of Vicki Aznaran. We intend to use the material that we derive from her testimony this week in any of the pending motions that are now before the Court. And at the time of those motions, I don't want to hear from you that you didn't have an opportunity to continue your

cross-examination of Vicki Aznaran like you cTT3

three months ago when you made your motion for a

protective order that before any other testimony

or before any motions are heard, we have to come

back here and complete her testimony.

We're here now. We're all ready, willing, and able to complete her testimony or at least continue it, and you've refused to do so. You have another golden opportunity this afternoon. Now it's your choice. We can either do that or you can squander that opportunity by continuing to drag out Mr. Aznaran's deposition, which I believe at this point has become harassive. It's your choice.

MR. HELLER: Did you notice,
Mr. Fagelbaum, Mr. Aznaran's deposition for
Thursday of this week? If you had noticed Vicki
Aznaran throughout the week, then I would have
been examining her at this point. And did you not
insist, Mr. Fagelbaum, that Mr. Aznaran's
deposition commenced today when we wanted to go
through with Vicki Aznaran's deposition the entire
week?

MR. FAGELBAUM: No, I didn't.

MR. HELLER: I believe you did.

MR. i'AGELBAUM: The record will reflect that I had an agreement with Mr. Cooley that the Vicki Aznaran deposition would be adjourned on Wednesday so as to allow Mr. Aznaran's deposition to go forward on Thursday. You've dragged it out Thursday. You've dragged it out again this morning, and it was only an accommodation to you that we agreed to continue Mr. Aznaran's deposition this morning. Now you've exceeded the time of direct and you want to go still further.

MR. HELLER: Just for the record, and I think Mr. Cooley can testify to this, Mr. Cooley came in here on Monday and said that he wanted to do Vicki Aznaran the whole week. He said I've got to go to a court hearing Wednesday morning --

MR. BRIGHT: Now wait a minute. You weren't even here.

MR. HELLER: I've got the record. I had it printed up.

MS. McRAE: The thing is that all he did -- all Cooley did on cross with Vicki was try to get into extraneous cases and going backdoor on the RICO case that was filed, and it was clearly improper. And I don't care. Y'all have Vicki and Rick here and they're here on a subpoena and

they re here To cooperate and you're entitled-boyour cross and you're entitled to your direct, but
you're not entitled to drag this thing out
strategically or otherwise.

And I don't see that you have used -- and Cooley sure didn't use a day with Vicki well at all. He didn't even cover this case, and I don't want either one of them to have to come back.

MR. HELLER: From my perspective

Mr. Aznaran, as I've said a number of times, will

not come back and I heard an incredible amount of

complaining of the way I was conducting the

deposition prior to the break. And I think I

asked two questions then just to get through 1985

on Mr. Aznaran's post. And am I wrong? Do you

disagree that since that time I have been going

through these meetings, which I think are

extremely important? They are meetings about the

Counter-Defendants that affect the AAC and

otherwise and I've been pretty much relevant on

point?

MS. McRAE: Finally. But you asked the same question over and over, and I want it to where Vicki doesn't -- you know, y'all in the near

future do not have to come back nere. KYTH I don'L see that you've used your time wisely in assuring that. And I think it's an abuse of the witnesses and the discovery process. Otherwise, you know, I don't care what motions y'all have pending down there. I'm representing them. I don't want their business destroyed over this. I don't want them harassed over this.

We know what the scientologists do.

They've sued me, too. And I'm not testifying, but we're just not going to put up with it. If you've got some more stuff you really want to ask Rick and you want to limit it to a certain period of time and start with Vicki again, so that I can be assured that she will not have to be coming back down here for cross or direct for at least the near future.

MR. FAGELBAUM: What I would suggest,
Mr. Heller, since you've already gone over movies,
records, puppies, ex-wives, nonparties, and
commemorative coins, that after the lunch break we
stick to substance and maybe allow Mr. Aznaran to
testify for one additional hour so that you can
clean up his cross-examination and then for the
remainder of the day, let's take Vicki Aznaran's

deposition?

б

MR. HELLER: I'm not going to be finished in one hour with Mr. Aznaran. I mean I can tell you that right now. And what I can tell you is I'll be finished before the end of the day, and I'll probably be finished before 5 o'clock, but it ain't going to be one hour. And if, in fact, I'm inconveniencing anybody by doing that, you have my apologies.

I would make two statements. Number one, as I discussed with you, Ms. McRae, off the record, granted I did go through the post periods in some detail which I think I'm entitled to do. But then I also kind of pointed out to you that I was segueing off of that into the RPF, the guards, the weapons, all of which was part of the direct examination yesterday.

Secondly, there are various areas which I haven't even touched yet and they will be relevant areas, and they will.be areas that were directly covered extensively, if I may say so, on direct such as the computer stuff, Mr. Mayo's running program, other meetings which transpired, where these alleged acts against the AAC were discussed and that's what I'm going to concentrate

```
1
     on. If I sway or leave the path, Ms. MCKae,
 2
     please bring me back there and I will get him out
 3
     of here at the end of the day.
 4
               MS. McRAE: The point is you had all
 5
     this time to do that already.
 6
               MR. HELLER: I really think I have
 7
     talked about the RPF. I have talked about the
 8
     weaponry. I have talked about the perimeter
 9
     guards, et cetera.
10
               MS. McRAE: Well, when you started on
11
     the RPF, why didn't we finish it? When you
12
     started on the running program, why didn't you
13
     stay on the running program?
14
               MR. HELLER: Well, come on now. I can
15
     take a deposition and move around. That's my
16
     technique. I'm not the first to come up with that
     technique. Am I? Lunchtime. Go ahead and make
17
18
     your statement, but let's go to lunch.
19
               MR. FAGELBAUM: Mr. Heller, are you
     prepared to come back here tomorrow for Vicki
20
     Aznaran's deposition?
21
22
               MR. HELLER: No. Tomorrow's Saturday.
23
     I'm going home tonight.
24
               MR. FAGELBAUM: Okay. Let's go to
```

(Recess - Time: 12:10-1:20 p.m.)

lunch.

1	~CORRIGENDA~
2	The witness, RICHARD N. AZNARAN, states he wishes
3	to make the following corrections or changes in
4	his testimony as originally given:
5	PAGE LINE CORRECTION/CHANGE , REASON
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 [PAGE 1	<u> INE COR</u>	RECTION/ <u>CHANffF</u>	<u>REASON</u>
2				
			·	······
3			<u> </u>	
4				
5		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
6				
,				
	-		——————————————————————————————————————	···
8	<u></u>			
₹				
0			···	
ւ				
2				
-			SIGNATURE OF WITN	ESS
3				
1				
5	STATE OF_)	
5	COUNTY OF_		_)	
,				by the
İ	said witne	ess on this t	heday of	1989.
3	. •			
•			Notary Public in an County,	
)			councy /	
	My commiss	sion expires:		
,				
3				
5				,

STATE OF TEXAS_____J_

COUNTY OF DALLAS)

I, Lori A. Belvin, Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly qualified in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that, pursuant to the agreement hereinbefore set forth, there came before me, RICHARD N. AZNARAN, who was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth of his knowledge concerning the matter in controversy in this case; and that he was thereupon carefully examined upon his oath and his examination reduced to typewriting by me; that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness, same to be sworn to and subscribed by said witness before any Notary Public, pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

1	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set
2	my hand and affixed my seal this the 184 day of
3	7 Wenn, 1989.
4	$\langle \rangle \rangle \langle
5	Mill I
6	LORI A. BELVIN,
7	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas. Certification No. 2572
8	Expires December 31, 1989 3616 Maple Avenue
9	Dallas, Texas 75219 Telephone (214) 748-3382
10	rerephone (211) /10 3302
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
         FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
      RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER, A )
      California Corporation, et al.,)CV 85-711 JMI
                                                       (Bx)
                      Plaintiffs,
                                       CV 85-7197JMI
                                                       (Bx)
      VS.
      ROBIN SCOTT, An Individual,
      et al.
                      Defendants.
7
      RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER,
      California Corporation, et al.',
                      Plaintiffs,
      VS.
10
      LARRY WOLLERSHEIM, An
11
      Individual, et al.,
                      Defendants.
12
      AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS
13
              ORAL DEPOSITION OF RICHARD N. AZNARAN
14
                       NOVEMBER 10, 1989
15
16
           ANSWERS AND DEPOSITION OF RICHARD N. AZNARAN,
17
      produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants
18
      and Counter-Claimants, taken in the above-styled
19
      and -numbered cause on the 10th day of November,
20
      A. D., 1989, before Lila Yvonne Hernandez, a
21
      Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State
22
      of Texas, at the offices of CLARK, WEST, KELLER,
23
      BUTLER & ELLIS, 4800 Renaissance Tower, City' of
24
      Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas
25
      accordance with Federal Rules of civil
```

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

1

2

5

6

.7

8

9

0

1

2

3

4

: 5

APPEARANCES

TURNER, GERSTENFELD, WILK & TIGERMAN

8383 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 510

Beverly Hills, California 90211 BY: MR. LAWRENCE E. HELLER

APPEARING FOR COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, CHURCH OF THE SPIRITUAL TECHNOLOGY

MYERSON & KUHN

2029 Century Park East Suite 1900

Los Angeles, CA 90067

BY: MR. JEROLD FAGELBAUM

APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, DAVID MAYO AND CHURCH OF THE NEW CIVILIZATION

BRIGHT & POWELL

1890 Eugenia Place Suite 200

Carpinteria, California 93013 BY: MR. GARY .M. BRIGHT

APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS, DAVID MAYO, CHURCH OF THE NEW CIVILIZATION, JOHN NELSON, HARVEY HABER, VIVIAN HARTOG, DEDE REISDORF

GREENE, BROILETT, PAUL, **SIMON** & WHEELER 816 South Figueroa Street.
Los Angeles, California 90017-2516
BY: MS. LETA SCHLOSSER

APPEARING FOR DEFENDANTS, LARRY WOLLERSHEIM, ET AL.

1	WRIGHT AND MCRAE
2	3411 McKinney Avenue Dallas, Texas 75204
3	BY: MS. KAREN E. MCRAE
4	APPEARING FOR WITNESS, RICHARD N. AZNARAN
5	Aron C. Magon Bonrogontativo
6	ALSO PRESENT: Aron C. Mason, Representative of Church of Scientology International
7	Lynn Farney, Representative
8	of Church of Scientology
9	INDEX
10	Examination of Richard N. Aznaran PAGE
11	Examination by Mr. Heller 349
1.2	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2 3	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

L O

11

L 2

13

L 4

15

16

L 7

18

L 9

2 0

21

22

23

24

25

(1:25 p.m.)

MR. HELLER: Short statement for the record, I have marshalled various letters which were written November 1st, two of them were written November 2nd and one of them November 3rd and the thrust of these letters -- they were between Mr. Fagelbaum and Mr. Cooley respecting the depositions which have transpired this we.ek where Mr. Cooley's unequivocal objection to the commencement of Mr. Aznaran's deposition this week and his insistence that Mrs. Aznaran's deposition continue and be completed prior to commencing Mr. Aznaran's, deposition and I don't think I want to waste a lot of time reading from these various letters, perhaps the best thing to do is just to attach them as exhibits.

MR. BRIGHT: Well, we're going to object to you attaching any letters as exhibits to the transcript unless you lay an adequate foundation for the introductions of these exhibits.

MR. HELLER: Well, I tend to agree with you that it's really almost overkill to do so, suffice it to say the very first letter is

November 1st where there is an express objection to the commencement of Mr. Aznaran's deposition; the response is November 2nd by fax letter.

3

5

7

٠.

.1

. 2

. 3

. 4

.5

.б

.7

.8

.9

2.0

:1

2:2

:3

: 4

25

MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm sorry. Who are these letters between?

MR. HELLER: The first letter, November 1st, is to you from Mr. Cooley and your response of November 2nd, the multi-page letter faxed to Mr. Cooley, and I think it indicates, it was thereafter mailed. It states on Page 2 that you intend to proceed with Mr. Aznaran's deposition, you think it's proper and you will not be deterred. There's another November 2nd letter written by Mr. Cooley to you, Mr. Fagelbaum, where he states we have agreed only to the resumption of the deposition of Vicki Aznaran and says he does not want to go forward with Richard Aznaran until we've completed Vicki Aznaran, and then you responded to him by a letter dated November 3rd where you say accordingly -- quoting: Accordingly Defendants' continuation of their direct examination of Mrs. Aznaran will commence on November 6th and Mr. Aznaran on or about November 9, 1989.

So I just find it unusual and perhaps

somewhat ironic that you would now say that we're not giving you an opportunity because of Mr.

Aznaran's deposition, which you subpoensed a notice, to go forward with the continuation and the completion of Mrs. Aznaran's deposition. It was our intention to do precisely that SOMETHING the week and absolutely complete the deposition this week of Mrs. Aznaran.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

. 1

. 2

. 3

. 4

. 5

. 6

. 7

. 8

. 9

0

1

2

: 3

4

2 5

Furthermore, I have the record of the agreement made on Monday, which would have been the 6th of November, regarding Mr. Aznaran's deposition, where Mr. Cooley in -- that he could before he went to Los Angeles for a hearing on Wednesday complete his section, which he did, of Mrs. Aznaran's deposition, allowed Mr. Aznaran's deposition to go on November 9th; at which point that record will reflect that Mr. Cooley said, and you thereafter agreed, quote: But we have an arrangement with respect to this deposition going until the close of business tomorrow, and whatever the situation is at that time, we'll do Rick Aznaran on Thursday and if further interrogation of Vicki Aznaran can't be accomplished by the close of business tomorrow, we'll just have to do it another time, understanding that I am assured

at the moment anyway at least forty-five minutes of questioning. Closed quote. And Mr. Fagelbaum says, quote: That's right. Close quote.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

.l

. 2

. 3

. 4

. 5

. 6

. 7

. 8

. 9

0

1

2

3

4

: 5

So there was no agreement, as I think was asserted yesterday, to continue Mrs. Aznaran's deposition today. In fact it was basically just the opposite.

Last, today is a legal holiday. We are in Dallas, so we are nevertheless here taking, it on a legal holiday, although the Federal Rules provide that we don't have to be here and none of us have to take this, it is Veteran's Day today, schools are closed, and am I right, Federal Courts are closed? I'm not sure. I think they are. So I'm not sure what the dispute is. And as I've said, I guess ad infinitum, and excuse me for going on ad nauseam, I will finish with Mr. Aznaran today, he will not have to come back, and I'm only here taking Mr. Aznaran's because of your insistence to go forward with it.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Well, I think the only thing that I agree with is your statement that you're not sure where the dispute is, because so far the references that you've made are to letters that were not written to you and'which

3

9

٥ ـ Ll

L 2

L3

L 4

L 5

L7

L 6

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

were not responded by you, so I'm going to object to any effort by you to make them exhibits to this deposition.

Number two, as far as the record is concerned, the record is what the record is. Again, you were not here when the discussions were had again with Mr. Cooley, I was and I know what the agreement was.

At the time the understanding was clear that we were going to interrupt the continued cross-examination of Mrs. Aznaran for one day, which was for Thursday, and we would then continue, whether or not we finished with Mr. Aznaran on Thursday with Mrs. Aznaran's deposition on Friday.

Now, if you want to rewrite history, that's been in your practice in the past and you're doing it once again. The point is, the complete global record of the events which have transpired starting back as early as August is clear and Judge Colts will see that this has been one orchestrated effort to block the obtaining of information and discovery from Mr. and Mrs. Aznaran and whatever discovery has been taken there has been further efforts to block its use.

4

This is a stall. It's clear, it's abusive and it's harassive. And we may end up coming back to Dallas one more time, but the next time it's going to be with you paying the price of the tickets.

And I'm telling you on the record now that we are going to seek substantial sanctions for your conduct, because what you're trying to do here is to prevent legitimate discovery from being taken and you've done it through a variety of devices, which continue up to this very moment.

Now, I'm finished with making speeches on the record. Let's get the remainder of his testimony and then I'm prepared to take Mrs.

Aznaran's deposition, whether you're available to take it or not, you're welcome, the opportunity is there.

MR. HELLER: I think there's one more speech.

MS. McRAE: I've got one more speech. And I'm going to say this, that the arguing in these depositions has taken up a whole lot of the time and I fail to see the need for it except to play hardball litigation, which is you all's litigation, but that has nothing to do with my clients and you all playing hardball litigation

1

5

6

7 8

9 0.

. 1 . 2

.3

.5,

.6 .7

L 8

2 0

١9

21 22

2 4

23

25

is not going to stop me getting a protective order on behalf of the witnesses because they do not have to put up with this.

MR. HELLER: Okay. I do just very quickly, I have the full record for Mr. Fagelbaum to look at if it states anything or I've misstated anything and, Ms. McRae, also if you care to.

That is a full record of the stipulation made over two days that I had printed up.

- Q. (By Mr. Heller) Continuing, Mr. Aznaran, you know you're still under oath and still subject to following the instructions and admonitions which- we discussed this morning; is that correct?
- understand that you have testified this morning that there were six to eight or so, perhaps a couple more meetings at Author Services,

Thank you. I want to make sure that we

That is correct.

Incorporated regarding the subject of the AAC and its personnel, including Mr. Mayo and Mr. Nelson.

I want to make sure we have an agreement on the definition of meeting and I am excluding from that definition, and I hope you understood that when you said there were six to eight or so meetings, conversations that might have occurred

. 2

. 3

. 4

. 5

. 6

. 7

L 8

L 9

3 0

21

22

23

2 4

25

over lunch; although I said we could have a meeting where there was a meal and it was over lunch, I'm not talking about comments that may-have occurred, et cetera, I'm talking about a meeting that had a start and a finish where there were people discussing various subjects, which include the subjects that I've just gone through, not casual comments or something that occurred in the course of a conversation that covered many different things over say a meal or something. Did you understand that to be my definition?

MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm going to object to the question and the statement, in that you continually change the facts and the parameters of what questions you asked previously and now are asking the witness to rely on memory alone as to what the parameters of the previous questions were. The record is what the record is.

If you want to change the facts and ask a different question now, fine, do so, but I think it's improper for you now to go back and try to mix the pie again.

- Q. Did you understand that that was what I meant when I said meeting?
 - A. In actual fact to the total contrary, I

8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3

i 7

6

٤9 ا

21

22

24

25

thought I made it clear, I asked you is it my understanding then you want me to include the conversations that took place at meals and so forth and you said yes. So it's my -- I mean, I thought that was the whole thing we sorted out earlier when I asked you to specifically please define what are we talking about, and it was my understanding that that was what we were talking about, just anytime we happened to sit around and talk about it we had a meeting.

- Q. Okay. My confusion and I don't intend to reask my question based on your response, I just wanted to get your understanding as you said six, eight or so or more meetings that that's what you understood and that's fine.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Now, you've generally told me about these meetings and the subject matter which was discussed and I'm just going to take you very quickly -- and I think we've gotten the time periods down on the meetings also, if I'm correct, so I'm just going to take you very quickly through what you can recall about each meeting by asking you a couple of questions on each meeting.
 - A. Okay. But I feel like I've actually

1

4 5

6 7

9

8

. 1

. 0

. 2 . 3

. 4

. 5

. 6

. 7

. 8

. 9

0

: 1

: 2 : 3

4

: 5

Α. Same answer.

answered that question to the best of my ability already.

And I'm going to MR. FAGELBAUM: object because he previously testified that he cannot separate in his own mind where one meeting began and where one meeting ended, so he can't separate distinct separate meetings.

> MR. HELLER: Okay.

And what you have testified to is the Q. and I understand and respect that. Can you tell me with precision which of the various persons that you have identified at being at these various meetings were at meeting one?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Objection. cannot testify with precision and he just testified to that.

MR. HELLER: I just want to get that on-the record.

- But I thought I just put it on the I mean I thought -- this is probably three or four times I've put it on the record at least. No.
- Okay. Same question with regard to meeting two?

- 1

Ο.

Α.

Q.

- 3
- Q. Four?

Three?

Same answer.

eight or however many there were?

4

5

- A. Et cetera.
- 6
- A. That's right.
- 8

9

0

.1

. 2

. З

. 4

. 5

. б

. 7

. 8

.9

9

1:

2

3 :

4

2 5

7

Q. You were kind enough to give me various subject matters which were discussed in the

Right through meeting number six or

- various meetings.
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And you did it in a narrative, which I appreciate.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Can you tell me of those various subjects, you probably went through about a dozen or so different subjects, which particular subject or subjects were discussed in meeting number one?
 - A. No.
- Q. By the way, as I ask these questions if you're able to identify well, I can't tell you -- for instance, you could respond I can't tell you all of it but I do remember for one particular meeting that this at least was discussed, please do so. I want to get your most particular

: 3

4

: 5

recollection and this is why I'm asking you this. Okay?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Meeting number two, can you tell me which subject or subjects that you previously identified were discussed?
 - A. No.
 - O. Number three?
 - A. No.
 - O. Number four?
 - A. No.
- Q. And the same you could say for five, six, seven and eight and any others?
 - A. Right. Now -- never mind, go ahead.
- Q. All right. Are you able to identify in any manner, whether by which meeting or when it took place, which of these were formal meetings which took place in a conference room in Author Services or in somebody's offices to discuss these subjects that you testified about and which, by either date, sequence or number -- well, by date or sequence where these less formal meetings that we talked about, which may have occurred over a meal or as a casual comment?

MR. FAGELBAUM: The question is

2

3

5

6

8

9

L O

1

١2

ι3

L 4

L 5

L6

17

18

19

2 0

21

22

23

2 4

25

- Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. I'm going to answer the question the best I can and I'm -- you tell me if you've got it or not, because I feel like I keep saying this over again.

First of all, I was not directly involved with activities involving AAC and David Mayo. Okay? There were times due to the fact that I was involved in other things, that I would happen to be in the room with these individuals who I have so named.

During the course of time that I would be in the room with these people these subjects would come up for discussion and I would hear this or that being asked and answered and questioned and see people respond and so forth. These were not formal meetings.

I thought I made myself clear on this earlier, such as battle plans or, you know, progress meeting or whatever, but were more informal where these subjects would be brought up amidst possibly ten other subjects or more.

An example might be, I might be over

David Miscavige's office, okay, talking about some

1

5

6 7

8

9

LΟ L1

ι2

L3

14

16

15

17.

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

security matter, talking about the latest and greatest assault rifle RH wanted or who knows what, and during the course of that all this is going on. Okay.

I was not directly involved with those and so I'm trying to establish what the relationship was. I was not at formal meetings, where it was like okay, Vicki, what did you get done this week. Good. You know, and a battl.e plan and a -- it's -- whatever.

And this testimony you've previously given has related to meetings just as you've described them now?

> Α. Yes.

And there were some normal meetings, as I understand it, of these six, eight or so that you have mentioned where you were not there for the entirety of the meeting but maybe just for seconds?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation, misstates the record.

MS. McRAE: He's never talked about formal meetings in regard to the AAC, Mr. Heller. He said -- by your own definition, and this is the third time since lunch you've gone formal

meetings, and I don't ever remember him saying anything about I was there some of the time or not some of the time in any formal meeting.

MR. HELLER: Well, let me find out.

- Q. I've lumped them all together. Were there some that were actual formal meetings in a conference room?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. My fault, I misunderstood. Yesterday you testified that there was an LRH guardian's order directing the culling of PC files; do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When did you first see that order?
- A. Oh, gosh, that would have been in the seventies, probably latter seventies, mid to -- about seventy-sixish.
 - Q. What did it look like?
- A. It looked like a white piece of paper with typing on it. I mean like a standard guardian's order.
- Q. Okay. In responding to that question you were able to relate it to other types of orders that you may know of, such as policy letters or things like that?

2 0

- A. Oh, sure.
 - Q. What category of those did it fall under?
 - A. Guardian's order.
 - Q. Did it have L. Ron Hubbard's name typed at the bottom of it?
 - A. When I originally saw it, I believe it did. At a latter time I believe there was some effort made to try to have Mary Sue take cred.it for all those type of things as opposed to Hubbard himself.
 - Q. Are you able to tell me how many or approximately how many times you've seen this order?
 - A. Time and time again, I mean quite numerous.
 - Q. Can you tell me when you first saw the order with Mary Sue Hubbard's name on the bottom of it?
 - A. Actually I don't think it had Mary Sue Hubbard's name on it ever, I think when I saw it it didn't have anybody's name on it and then the party line became that it was actually Mary Sue's.

MR. FAGELBAUM: We will object to questions relating to the content of the-document

unless you produce it and make it available to the witness.

MR. HELLER: Frankly it came up yesterday and I've never seen it and no one else knows anything about it and that's why I want to find out what it is and where it is and everything else.

- A. I can tell you where you can find a copy.
 - Q. Please.

5

7

8

9

ĹΟ

1

١2

13

L 4

15

١6

١7

18

L9

3.0

11

22

3.3

?4

25

- A. When I left I had a pack made, of guardian's orders left in a file cabinet in my office, and when I had that pack made I had a duplicate pack made for Ray Mithoff and I'm sure he probably still has his pack, and it was all the confidential guardian's orders concerning intelligence matters and so forth.
- Q. This office you're referring to, where was that?
- A. That was at RTC, it was last down at CMO gold.
 - Q. Both locations in Los Angeles?
 - A. Gilman actually.
 - Q. Both locations?
 - A. (Nods head.) But anyway if you want to

```
see if they can find one for you, good luck.
1
                     MR.
                         HELLER:
                                  Mr. Fagelbaum, if you
2
     have one, I would be interested in getting a
3
     document of it.
                         FAGELBAUM:
                                      It's not my
                     MR.
5
     client's document, it's yours. It's probably
6
     responsive to some of our document requests
7
     however.
8
9
                     MR.
                         HELLER: You mean we've
lΟ
     responded by giving it to you?
L 1
                     MR. FAGELBAUM: By not giving it to
L 2
     us.
13
                     MR. HELLER: I see.
L 4
               -- the FBI has one. Us meaning your law
L 5
     firm, sir.
Lб
                Do you recall if it's one or two pages,
           Ο.
L 7
     this order?
18
               No, not really.
١9
                Can you recall any of the specific
3 0
     language on the order?
21
                     MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm going to object
2 2
     again. Produce the document.
3
                     MR. HELLER: And again, I don't
? 4
     have it and we don't have it. Now, you'd like us
25
     to have it, that's fine but we don't have it.
```

1 2 3 5 6 8 9 LO LΊ L 2 L 3 L4 15 16 L7 18 L9 3.0 21 22 2.3 ≥4

25

THE WITNESS: Will you state for the record that it does not exist, never did exist and that's what your clients told you?

MR. HELLER: I'll state for the record to my knowledge it does not and never did exist. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Is that what your clients tell you?

MR. HELLER: Well, I can't giv.e communications between my client and I, but I'll state for the record that to my knowledge it did not exist and you can --

THE WITNESS: That's why they don't tell their attorneys everything, so you can do -
MR. HELLER: It doesn't matter.

- Q. Can you recall any specific language in it?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. And would you relate that to me, please?
- A. I remember the word cull as in I remember it talking about culling PC folders, that was the term used, culling PC folders. The word buttons was also used. One was to cull PC folders to find buttons. It also talked about the fact that these buttons could be then used against the

3

5 6

8

7

9

0.1 Ll

L 2

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

person.

(Material removed from text and contained in a Sealed Excerpt in compliance with the Protective Order.)

MR. FAGELBAUM: We're back on the regular record now.

What was Lyman Spurlock's functions as best that you can recall in Scientology back in 1983 and 1984?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation, calls for speculation.

As I recall, he was working on the corporate sort-out -- what was termed the corporate sort-out of the various Scientology organizations.

And what did that entail, the corporate Q. sort-out?

They were trying to create an image of separation between the various churches and so forth, so that damages would be compartmentalized for lawsuits and so forth, and so that they could take, for example, Church of Scientology of California and strip it of all its property and money and make it a party to the suits, give it all the liabilities, such as make it responsible

for paying all the legal bills and so forth, and create an image of this organization as not having a whole lot of money; so that if they lost a suit, such as with Wollersheim, they would be in a position where they could limit their loss.

Q. At that time were there any outstanding judgments against the Church of Scientology of California to your knowledge?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation, may call for a legal conclusion.

- A. Ask me again.
- Q. Sure.
- A. Am I going to get a copy of that while we're sitting here?
- Q. Yes. I'm going to get through this area first and then we can come back. I didn't want to -- excuse me, I didn't want to waste time by waiting to get the document.
 - A. Hey, that's cool.
- Q. Okay. Let me reask the question.

 During 1983 and 1984 were there any judgments that you are aware of pending against the Church of Scientology of California?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Same objection.

MR. HELLER: I'm sorry?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Same objection.

- A. I don't recall at this time whether there were during that period or not.
- Q. Do you recall if there were any lawsuits wherein the Church of Scientology of California was named as a defendant in '83-'84?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.

- A. Again, as I said, as far as the time, the exact year and so forth I'm a little unclear on that and I prefer not to guess.
- Q. Okay. Were you personally involved in the corporate sort-out in any manner whatsoever?
 - A. In any manner whatsoever?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Only in investigating Lorel Sullivan, who had been involved in the earlier corporate sort-out, which was doomed a serious plant by Hubbard.
- Q. What was your function in that regard in investigating Lorel Sullivan?
- A. I followed her, interviewed people who may or may not know her, talked to her apartment manager, talked to neighbors, staked out her house for, you know, days at a -- or apartment for days

at a time.

- Q. Was it --
- A. Various things like that.
- Q. Was there general displeasure with the initial corporate sort-out that was performed or engaged in by Lorel Sullivan?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of the corporations which eventually were formed from the corporate sort-out or evolved from the corporate sort-out?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Yes. Do you have any understanding of the names of the corporations which eventually evolved from the corporate sort-out in 1983-'84?
- A. Sure. Well, '82, I mean all that whole period I know the corporations as they ended up.
 I can't tell you which one ended up when.
- Q. Why don't you just give me the corporations?
- A. Well, I know about Religious Technology
 Center, CST, CSI, CSC, Bridge Publications, ASI.
 There was even actually a little later on Church
 of Scientology, I think in San Diego and maybe
 Sacramento that were used to front on a lot of

. 0

. 1

. 2

. 3

. 4

5

. 6

. 7

. 8

. 9

0

1

2

3

4

: 5

things because they were allowed some kind of tax exempt status by the IRS.

Q. Did you know what corporate entity was paying Mr. Spurlock when he was working on this corporate sort-out in *82 to '84, I guess?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of

foundation.

- A. No.
- Q. Do you know what corporation he was formally working for while he was working on the corporate sort-out during this time period?
- A. He was supposed to be a member of Author Services.
- Q. To your knowledge did Lyman Spurlock have any involvement in your mission to the orient, which you testified about at some length I think both yesterday and this morning?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Calls for speculation.

- A. I can say that only because I happen to know that Marty Rathbun reported for both he, David Miscavige and Norman Starkey and Marty having knowledge of it that he would, I can assume so.
 - Q. It would be strictly an assumption

. б

7

8

9

0

. 1

2

3

4

: 5

- however?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you have any facts that Mr. Spurlock had any knowledge of your activities in the orient?
 - A. I keep thinking I answered it. Then you ask me a little different and I don't know if I missed the first question or something, I thought I just said no.
 - Q. Okay. I did ask it a little differently and I asked it more all encompassing the same thing.
 - A. All right.
 - Q. Now, I will admit that you got me a little confused yesterday with regard to this system dealing with the back-up computer tapes.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And I probably wasn't the only one in the room.
 - A. Yes.
 - $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FAGELBAUM: Calls for speculation.
 - Q. Let me ask a couple of foundational questions on it. There are various messages that transpire on this system between users of the

2 4

system, correct?

- A. Certainly, that was what was known as the Merc message system.
- Q. Okay. And these are messages that a user would type and then send over to another user, correct?
 - A. Yes. One or more, yes.

MR. FAGELBAUM: You said Merc?

THE WITNESS: M-e-r-c.

- Q. When messages have gone back and forth, is there a manner of electronically shredding the messages by the user?
- MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation. Are you talking about his knowledge on this particular system, on every message that is sent, at what point in time?
- Q. I think -- hopefully you understood, I'm talking about -- well, let's back off for a minute. Number one, I'm talking about your knowledge, and in all of these I ask I very often say to your knowledge and I mean that very very literally, as you know because sometimes I bring you back and say did you know that.

Number two, I'm talking about your knowledge of this system as you understood it.

23

24

25

And you did testify yesterday that various terminals were set up, and for your information Mrs. Aznaran testified as well, and further testified that some attorneys had some of these terminals.

- A. Sure.
- Q. Now, back to my question. To your knowledge when a message had been sent and received, was it possible to electronically shred that message?
- A. No. Now, not by the person. The person could shred it from his particular access, but what you have to realize is that a week later, let's say you wanted a copy of that message that you shredded last week, you could contact the INCOMM computer room and they could generally produce it for you.
 - Q. You say generally produce it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Could they always produce it?
- A. I've never had any occasion arise where they couldn't.
- Q. How long did the INCOMM computer room keep that message?
 - A. I don't know, they did daily backups,

they did weekly backups, absolute minimum would have been a week.

MR. HELLER: Let's take a one-minute break.

(Recess - Time 2:03-2:15 p.m.)

- Q. Now, you mentioned the Merc system, and would you describe what the Merc system is, please?
- A. I believe probably in present day terms they refer to it -- compare it to electronic mail.
- Q. And for anyone in this room who may not be as computer literate as you are, does that mean that it is messages sent by computer from one terminal to another?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. To your knowledge is that the general mode of communication on the system that you have been testifying about when messages are sent from one terminal to another, the Merc system?
- A. Only when we're talking about computers, yeah.
- Q. Yes, that's what I'm talking -- we're staying on computers.
- A. Yeah, I believe that's what it was called.

- 1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 LO ļΊ L 2 L3 L 4 L 5 16 17 18 19. 20 21 22 23 24 25
- Q. Okay. You have used that and sent and received messages?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. Have you ever opened a file on the computer to save those messages that have been sent and received?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. Have there been times when you have not opened such a file to save those messages that have been sent and received?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. When you open a file to save those messages that have been sent and received, does that mean that they are permanently logged on the memory of the main frame?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.

- A. I would have to say no, but only because of your use of the word permanent.
 - Q. Does that mean that they are logged?
- A. Sure. Well, they're put in the standard storage banks of the computer, yes.
- Q. And what we're dealing with here really is a main frame system, aren't we?
 - A. Yes.

2 2

- Q. Where this is one central computer bank, if you will, consisting of X hundred or thousand megabytes of memory processing?
 - A. Several of those in this case, but yes.
- Q. Okay. Several of those at maybe different locations and various terminals that are connected by phone lines to them?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Pretty good --

MR. FAGELBAUM: Wait a second.

There's been no testimony to the number of main frames, how they've been connected, how many locations. You're just feeding him information in your questions. Ask him a question to establish a foundation whether he has any knowledge of any of this, because none of it has come out on the record, certainly none of it came out on direct.

MR. HELLER: It's not my job to lay your foundation for you. I mean --

MR. FAGELBAUM: No, lay a foundation for your questions. I mean you're just having again a conversation with the witness again.

Q. Is that your understanding of what a main frame is?

MR. BRIGHT: What? 1

MR. FAGELBAUM: What's the

question?

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That there would be a central -- I thought I said this, but maybe I'm someplace else -- that there would be central computer banks and there are terminals connected by phone lines to that central computer bank or computer banks? MR. BRIGHT: Objection, leading,

compound, no foundation.

Ο. Go ahead.

A. Okay. We can sit -- I mean this is -- I don't know, this is like totally ridiculous to me. I mean if we're getting into like engineering or what. I mean you ask me these questions and then you've got -- you're not an engineer either, see, so you ask these questions, you say phone lines. Well, first of all, phone lines are not what's used to connect the computer, so am I supposed to say no, and then correct you about what kind of line is used to connect the computer? I mean I wish we could just get to the. point. I mean this isn't a test for some computer course.

> Also relevance. MR. BRIGHT:

- 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
- 20 21

23

24

25

- Q. Describe how you understand the Scientology computer system to work by way of ability to communicate from one terminal to another.
- A. Okay. All right. Now, hopefully we can do this once. Okay. There is a computer. Okay. The computer -- this computer has what's called a RAM, random access memory. Okay. This is a volatile memory; in other words, if you unplug that computer, that memory is gone. Okay.

That computer also has what's called a hard disk or a hard drive, it's also called sometimes a Winchester disk or a Winchester drive. This disk or drive, information can be saved to that. Okay. Now, in addition to that, there is what's called a back-up system. And this can vary from computer to computer; it can be in a cassette, reel-to-reel, even a compact disk. Okay. They used tapes, large computer tapes.

MR. BRIGHT: By they, you're referring to Scientology?

THE WITNESS: Scientology.

A. So now when you sent a message, you have a computer, two computers, two or more computers, and each computer would have various terminals

connected to it. Now, what you're talking about the phone line, where phone lines would be used is let's say your computer in Los Angeles, there would be a dedicated -- or a set of dedicated phone lines connecting it to computers in Gilman or to computers in your office, and that is via modem.

Messages could be sent back and forth, yes. Okay. When memory -- when things werestored, for example, when you say you put it in a file, what that means is your little tiny space on that Winchester hard drive, you just stuck it in there to keep it. Now, subsequent just by mere fact of that thing having been there, the backups were recorded it as well.

- Q. It's automatic backup?
- A. Automatic.
- Q. Now, if you did not make a file of those messages, you did not stick it in there; is that correct?

MR. BRIGHT: Same objection on relevance.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Vague and ambiguous.

A. You did not put it in your file, but it

still existed in a different file. 1 Where would that file be? 2 That would just be -- I mean I can't 3 give it a name or tell you what they called it or whatever, but it would be basically a file of 5 messages. 6 In the computer itself? 7 Ο. Α. Yes. 8 9 O. Even if you didn't open the file? Α. You don't have to open the file, it's 10 already there. You're talking about your storage 11 area. The computer already had it stored before 12 13 you ever got it. 14 Q. Am I understanding of what your 15 testimony just was that when you open a file, you take your tiny little space on the hard drive? 16 17 That's your file, that's right, your Α. file. 18 But if you don't open a file, you don't 19 0. take that tiny little space; is that correct? 20 21 Your file. Α. Do you get on the hard file with the 22 0. 23 message at all? 24 A. Your file? Or is it already there

anyway? I mean you could take it and move it

25

around on that hard drive. This message, for example, I might send it to ten people, he saves it, he saves it, you don't save it, well it's on there twice.

Q. Okay. Now, let's assume nobody saves it in a file, the message that you send out to ten people, including you, the sender, does it get on the hard disk?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Calls for speculation.

A. Whether it gets on the hard disk or the back-up tape, I cannot a hundred percent tell you, I know that it is saved.

MR. BRIGHT: We'll just have a continuing objection on the entire line of questioning, particularly hypothetical computer technological inquiries of this witness.

- Q. Well, I certainly --
- A. Which I don't feel qualified to answer anyway.
- Q. All right. And I certainly don't mean to be hypothetical, we are now talking about your, understanding of the Scientology computer system.

MR. BRIGHT: Oh, no, wait a minute. Wait a minute. The questions speak for

```
themselves.
1
                                   Then I'll reask all my
                     MR. HELLER:
2
      questions.
3
                     MR. BRIGHT: No, you're not.
 4
                     MR. HELLER: Excuse me. I ask my
 5
      initial questions --
 6
                     MR. BRIGHT:
7
                                   There's no way you're
      going to go through this again.
8
                     MR. HELLER: Excuse me. I asked
9
     him to describe the Scientology computer system
10
     precisely, and I'm under the impression that's
11
     what he was describing. Am I correct?
12
13
                     THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
                     MR. BRIGHT: Well, your ability to
      ask precise questions requires considerable work.
15
16
                     MR. HELLER: Really. But you don't
      like the answers very much though, do you?
17
                     MR. BRIGHT: No. No, it's the
18
19
      questions that I'm objecting to.
20
                     MR. HELLER: All right. Let's ask
      again.
21
22
                     MR. BRIGHT: Believe me we'll take,
23
      this line of questioning up with the Court.
24
                         FAGELBAUM: Also I am
                     MR.
```

objecting, lack of foundation. You have made no

25

attempt to establish if this witness is qualified to testify on the subject matter that you've inquired about over the last several minutes.

MR. HELLER: Well, Mr. Fagelbaum, would you stipulate that there's a lack of foundation as to your inquiry concerning the backup and the savings of computer data that you took yesterday, I think you or Mr. Bright took yesterday?

MR. FAGELBAUM: My questions will stand on their face, as will yours, but I'm objecting to yours.

Q. Now, just so we have it for the record, Mr. Aznaran. The questions that I have been asking you, have you understood them to apply to the Scientology computer system?

MR. BRIGHT: Objection, because you've laid no foundation as to your questions. You have to do it question by question, and we're not going to do this in conversational style any longer.

- Q. Please answer.
- A. All I can say is -- okay, first of all, I used the computers, I didn't design them, I didn't work on them or anything else. I know that

you could send messages back and forth. I know that once I shredded a message I could call up INCOMM and say I need a copy of that message back and they would get it for me. Okay.

- Q. Do you know how long --
- A. No, I already told you that.
- Q. Let me finish the question so we have a record. Do you know if there's any particular time period, any particular time limitation on when you are no longer able to call up and get that message back after you shredded it?
 - A. No.
- Q. 'Thank you. And do you know with respect to the Scientology computer system whether a message was saved if you fail or anyone who gets that message fails to open a file on it?
- A. I've told you my limited knowledge on the subject.
- Q. Can you answer the question specifically?

MR. FAGELBAUM: He's already indicated he's given you the breath of his knowledge of this subject and he's not qualified to answer the question. You keep on persisting and repeating the question. Asked and answered.

MR. HELLER: I asked him do you know. Would you please read back my last question and then we shall move on.

(Court reporter read back.)
MR. BRIGHT: Same objections.

- A. Okay. As I've said, you get a message.

 Okay. You could file it, you could shred it, you could do nothing with it. Okay. If you shredded it, it was my experience that you could call.

 INCOMM and they could get you a copy of it back.

 Now, one other thing, which I did not say and I don't even know if you care, but they wouldn't do that for just anybody.
- Q. Okay. The specific question I asked however is -- let me repeat it -- as a matter of fact, let me rephrase it and try to make it as clear as I possibly can.
- The fuck has this got to do with anything?
- Q. It really is a very relevant issue.

 Tell me whether you know or don't know, that's all

 I want to know. And I recognize that you have

 limitations --

MS. McRAE: If he can't answer it with a yes or no, Larry -- he knows what he knows,

he told you what he knows. In all of his experience he's always been able to call messages back up and now, that answer stands on itself.

MR. HELLER: I'm looking for a yes or no answer just like you.

MS. McRAE: If you can't give a yes or a no, don't give a yes or a no.

- A. What's the question?
- Q. And if you can't, don't give it tome.

 If a message is sent and it is shredded by the person who sends it and all those who received it and a file is not opened by the person who sends it arid all of those who receive it, does it end up on the memory of the computer?
 - A. I don't know where it ends up.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Asked and answered.

MR. BRIGHT: I think you're going through the looking glass now, Heller.

MR. HELLER: Mr. Heller to you.

- Q. Okay. With regard to the armoured car that you procured from Mr. Hubbard --
 - A. As opposed to the armoured truck?
 - O. Was there an armoured truck?
 - A. Never mind, I'm sorry. Go ahead.
 - Q. You were being funny?

A. No, no, we talked about it yesterday, 1 but go ahead. 2 Okay. Did you procure more than one Ο. 3 armoured vehicle from Mr. Hubbard? Α. Yes. 5 Q. How many? 7 Α. Two. 8 Q. One was a car and one was a truck? One was a van and one was a Peterbilt. 9 Α. Say the last part again? 10 Ο. A. Peterbilt, one word. 11 MS. McRAE: Off the record. 12 (Off-the-record discussion.) 13 What is a Peterbilt? Ο. 14 It's a large tractor used normally to 15 haul heavy loads, such as eighteen-wheelers, to 16 pull eighteen-wheelers and so forth. 17 Q. When the van was purchased, was it 18 already an armoured van? 19 Α. No. 20 21 Q. How much was spent on procuring the van 22 itself? 23 A. Oh, God, I don't recall, ten, twelve, fourteen, fifteen thousand, sixteen thousand. 24 How much in armouring it? 25 Ο.

A. Sixty, eighty thousand. We also had 1 engine work done and other stuff done. 2 I believe you testified that you had the 3 armouring of the vehicle done in Texas? Α. Yes. 5 Q. Did you have the engine worked on in б Texas as well? 7 Α. No. 8 Where was that done? 9 Q. A. California. 10 Q. To your knowledge did Mr. Hubbard ever 11 even see this van? 12 13 A. 'Yes. 14 When did he see it? A. It's my understanding he's at least saw 15 photos of it. 16 Q. Okay. Do you know whether he ever saw 17 18 the van itself? 19 No, I don't. Α. 20 All right. You procured the van in 21 California? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. The Peterbilt? 24 Yes. Α.

Q. How much was spent exactly or :

25

approximately to procure the Peterbilt? 1 Just before it was armour plated or 2. anything it was probably eighty, a hundred thousand. Ο. And how much was spent to armour plate 5 6 it? Α. Sixty, eighty thousand. 7 Q. Any other work done on it? 8 Α. Totally customized interior. 9 Did you get it armour plated in Texas, 10 Q. by the way, the Peterbilt? 11 The Peterbilt, the cab was armour plated 12 in Texas,' and I brought back a load of armour 13 plated material so they could be completed in 14 California. 15 16 To your knowledge did Mr. Hubbard ever see this Peterbilt? 17 Α. I know that he saw photos of it. 18 19 Ο. And the Peterbilt itself? Α. Of the Peterbilt, yes. 20 To your knowledge did he see the 21 Peterbilt itself? 22. Not to my knowledge. 23 Α. To your knowledge did he ever utilize 24

25

either of these vehicles?

I have no knowledge one way of the 1 Α. 2 other. Do you know what happened to these 3 O. vehicles, what they were utilized for after they 4 were completely outfitted and armoured? 5 They were put in storage and not used at 6 Α. all. 7 And as of the time that you left 8 Ο. Scientology, as far as you know they were still in 10 storage and never used? 11 Yes, as of the time I left. 12 Were these two vehicles armour plated by 13 someone who was -- prior to the time they armour plated them was a friend of yours? 14 15 Α. No. 16 You did not know the person or any people in the company that did the armour plating 17 prior to the time it was done? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Was there any attempt made to sell either of these two vehicles after all of the work 21 was done on them, to your knowledge? 22 23 A. After Hubbard died there was, a couple 24 of years after I guess.

Were they in fact sold?

25

Ο.

- A. I know the blue van was.
- Q. Do you know who it was sold to?
- A. I know it was sold to some gentleman south of the United States border for cash.

MR. BRIGHT: What's the relevance of this, counsel?

MR. HELLER: In cross-examination there was probably a twenty plus minutes on it the first time around. I'll guarantee you this, I'm not spending twenty plus minutes on it this time around. What was the relevance when you examined him on it?

MR. BRIGHT: I guarantee you the only reference during our part of the examination was in connection with his duties, what his involvement was, and it was strictly limited to that, we certainly didn't trace the make, the disposition, where they were stored, how much all of the items cost, none of which has anything to do with this lawsuit.

MR. HELLER: You didn't trace how much the items cost? Better look at the record. You went specifically how much they cost, how much was armoured plated, ta-ta-ta-ta.

MR. FAGELBAUM: All right. Let's

1 | have a little more ta-ta-ta-ta and go on.

MR. HELLER: If I ask a question, you tell me we never covered this on cross, why are you getting into this. And then when I ask a question that's covered on cross of which I've been maybe on for five to ten minutes, why are you asking this question, what's the relevance of it.

MR. BRIGHT: The point was --

MR. FAGELBAUM: The point was-on direct the question had to do with Scientology monies being used to purchase items for L. Ron Hubbard's personal use. If you want to follow up on that, fine but the fact that -- you know, if the thing was produced in California or manufactured in Texas, so what?

MR. HELLER: That's exactly what I did, I think. He said has he ever seen it, has he ever used it. I thought I asked those questions, but I might be wrong. But we're going to move right off of that now onto something else. And I want you all to know how I'm moving right along here. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Just zipping right along.

MR. HELLER: If I'm going too fast

for you, please let me know. 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 When one of your functions was to be in Q. 3 charge of the security at Gilman did you purchase 4 any of -- did you purchase any security equipment 5 which was used at Gilman? б 7 Α. Yes. Q. Do you recall what you purchased? 8 Certainly. Α. Can you tell me, please? 10 Q. 11 Α. I purchased radios, two-way walkie-talkies, I purchased -- now, are you 12 talking about me going to the store or overseeing 13 or otherwise involved? 14 No, both. 15 Ο. Walkie-talkies, Mace, side-handle police 16 batons, leather gear for guards, uniforms, shoes, 17 ties; insignia, security vehicles, red lights for 18 the topless security vehicles, replacement parts 19 for parameter security equipment, handcuffs, 20 bullets, handcuff keys. 21 Don't those come with the handcuffs? 22 O. These were separate, they were made into 23 That's all I can think of offhand. key chains. 24 Okay. Were all of these purchases used 25 Q.

out in Gilman? 1 2 3 Ο. Α. 5 6 Ο. 7 equipment? Α. 8 9. Ο. 10 Α. 11 12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Those particular ones were, yes, but I also did it for LA.
 - Purchased the same --
 - Florida.
- And generally you purchased the same
 - (Nods head.)
 - You have to answer verbally?
 - Yes.
- Was it your job to decide what equipment should be purchased to use for security purposes at Gilman Hot Springs? Let's stop at that.
- Yes and no. I was given orders to have them outfitted and then get them the leather and all that kind of stuff, I wouldn't have to actually, you know, figure out which leather to buy, which type style of police batons to buy and so forth.
- Putting aside the uniforms. Did you Ο. have any discretion in purchasing the equipment that you've just described you either purchased or oversaw the purchase of?
 - Meaning like what brands or whatever? Α.
 - Well, as opposed to go out and get two Q.

```
walkie-talkies, go out and get Mace, I'm not
1
      concerned with brands but the things to get,
2
      categories?
3
                     MR. BRIGHT: So what's the
5
      question?
6
           Ο.
                The question is: Did you have
7
      discretion in making those purchases?
                No, not really.
8
9
           Ο.
                Who generally told you what you
10
      purchases to make?
                David Miscavige or Steve Marlow.
           Α.
11
                Now, was that true --
12
           Ο.
          A. Or Pat Broeker.
13
                They would actually tell you the general
14
      categories of equipment that you were to go out
15
      and purchase?
16
                     MR. FAGELBAUM: Asked and
17
      answered.
18
19
           Α.
                Yes.
                 Were there any purchases you made which
20
      you initiated on your own without receiving orders
21
      to purchase that particular piece or category of
22
23
      equipment?
24
                      MR.
                          FAGELBAUM:
                                      Limited to the
25
     security equipment?
```

Just refresh my recollection.

25

Ο.

- A. Just to refresh your recollection, he was working for Gene Ingram, who had been hired by other people who used Rory Wagner on cases for the church. Later when Mr. Wagner obtained his own investigative license, I hired him and used him.
- Q. Okay. Let me just get my notes out on that.

MS. McRAE: That was at the end of the day, Mr. Heller, when you went into Mr. .

Aznaran's Texas Private Investigation License and that sort of thing.

MR. HELLER: Okay. Thank you.

- Q. Did you work with Rory Wagner or Wagner Investigations subsequent to your return from the orient?
 - A. No.
- Q. To your knowledge did Rory Wagner or Wagner Investigations work for Scientology after you both returned from the orient?
 - A. No.
- Q. I know you said you were in the orient for about ten days; can you specifically identify what that time period was?
 - A. Not any better than I already have.
 - Q. And what did you say? If yourd be kind

1 | enough to remind me.

MR. FAGELBAUM: I don't think it's fair to have to ask him to --

MR. HELLER: I'll look. Don't answer. Don't answer.

MR. FAGELBAUM: That's what we're supposed to do in the intervening period of time, Mr. Heller, is to familiarize ourselves with our notes so that we know these things, so we don't have to wait here as you keep going back in your notes looking for the spots that you already quizzed him about yesterday. You're wasting a lot of people's valuable time.

MR. HELLER: Would you do me a favor from now on and -- in fact we can save a lot of time -- make me a list of the things I'm supposed to do while we take breaks so I don't screw up like I've been doing throughout this -- MR. FAGELBAUM: I don't have that

much time.

MR. HELLER: Okay. Because I could use that sort of direction from you if it's at all possible, I would appreciate it. And anytime you do catch me not doing what I'm supposed to do during the breaks, please remind me also because

```
you're a big help to me when you do those sort of
1
2
      things. I mean that very sincerely.
                     MS. McRAE: Maybe Lynn ought to
 3
      refresh you.
                           (Off-the-record discussion.)
 5
                Were you in charge of the running
           Ο.
 6
      program the entire time that Mr. Mayo was involved
 7
      in it?
 8
9
                I was actually never in charge of the
10
      running program that Mr. Mayo was involved in.
11
                 I'm sorry. You were in charge of the
12
      running program while Mr. Mayo was running;
                                                    is
13
      that 'correct?
14
                I was never in charge of the running
15
      program while Mr. Mayo was running.
                Were you ever in charge of the running
16
           Ο.
17
      program?
                 The only time I was in charge of the
18
          · A. '
      running program was while I was in the RPF in Los
19
      Angeles.
20
21
                When was that again?
           Ο.
22
                That was ninety-nine days from May
           Α.
23
      through whatever.
24
                Were you running program CS at anytime?
           Ο.
25
                Only on the RPF.
           Α.
```

- Q. While you were on the RPF?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that was that ninety-nine days we've been talking about?
 - A. Those same wonderful days.
- Q. Mr. Mayo was to your knowledge neither on the running program nor in the RPF at that time?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. However you were present when Mr. Mayo was on the running program?
 - A. Yes.
 - 'Q. ' And this was in Gilman Hot Springs?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. To your observation for how long a period was Mr. Mayo on the running program?
- A. A long time. And just to clarify what I said'a minute ago, actually I think Mr. Mayo may possibly have started the running program before I got back; it was right in there real close one way or the other, I'm not real sure of the timing.
- Q. Okay. And that's why I asked the question while you were present. Now, when you say a long time, can you be specific how long a time Mr. Mayo was on the running program to your

1	knowledge?
2	A. Weeks and weeks.
3	Q. Over two months?
4	A. I don't know if I can answer that. I
5	know it was what appeared at the time to be an
6	extraordinary period.
7	Q. And you stated that he was losing weight
8	at this time?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Did you talk to him in any periods of
11	time while he was on the running program?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did he ever complain to you about being
14	on the running program?
15	A. As I recall, most of his complaints
16	concerned his health and the fact that his teeth,
17	he felt like they were falling out and so forth.
18	Q. Can you differentiate between any of
19	these particular conversations you had with Mr.
20	Mayo while he was on the running program?
21	A. Not really. It was not what you would
22	consider fraternization.
23	Q. Can you tell me with any specificity
24	what he complained about concerning his health,
25	other than his teeth?

- A. I think it was mostly his general condition.
- Q. What about his general condition did he complain about?
- A. It's a little bit difficult to differentiate what I observed and particularly exactly what he said. As I described it yesterday, he was stooped over and looked like he aged twenty years, was emaciated, trouble walking.
- Q. I'm now asking questions particularly about what he said.
- A. I know. Like I said, I'm having a little trouble differentiating -- looking back on it it's a little hard to tell the difference between what I saw and what he may or may not have actually said about his general condition.
- Q. Given that, can you tell me -- can you recall any particular specific thing he said concerning his general health condition?
- A. Only his extreme concern about his general health condition, deteriorating as it was.
- Q. Is it my understanding that -
 MR. BRIGHT: Objection. You know,

 it's not like it's your first year, Counsel, I

 mean you've been through this. How long have you

```
been a lawyer? Fifteen years? You know how to
1
     ask a proper question, you're just doing this on
2
     purpose.
3
                     MR. HELLER: Well, you haven't
     quite let me finish. I was going to say if it was
5
     my understanding that --
6
7
                     MR. BRIGHT: That right there is an
      improper question right there.
8
                     MR. HELLER: You didn't let me
9
10
      finish again.
11
                     MR. BRIGHT: You've only asked that
      type of question probably on thirty occasions.
12
13
                     MR. HELLER: Well, I've only asked
14
      it with regard to yesterday's testimony so I could
15
     bring us with one question up to where we are, so
     we could get going.
16
17
                     MR. BRIGHT: It's the form of the
18
      question that's improper, it's not the content.
19
                     MR. HELLER: I'm going to try to
20
      ask it another way.
21
             Okay. This is a test.
22
              I'm going to try to do as well as I
23
      can. To your knowledge, was Mr. Mayo at Happy
24
     Valley after he was on the running program?
```

Am I to assume that this is opposed to

25

Α.

during? I'm not following the question. 1 If it's during, tell me during. My 2 0. understanding from your testimony yesterday was 3 that Mr. Mayo was on the running program at Gilman? 5 6 Α. Yes. Is that correct? Ο. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Now, my question is: Did he then go to O. 9 Happy Valley? 10 11 Like at night? Yes. 12 So he would do the running program at Gilman and then he would go to Happy Valley in the 13 14 evening? 15 Α. Yes. Was there a point when Mr. Mayo was in 16 the RPF at Happy Valley subsequent to him 17 terminating the running program or subsequent to 18 the termination of the running program? 19 As I've already told you on numerous 20 Α. 21 occasions, there was no RPF at that time. 22 Q. Was there a point where Mr. Mayo was at.

Happy Valley after the running program -- after

his running program was terminated?

I don't recall that.

23

24

25

Α.

Was there a point where Mr. Mayo was at 1 Happy Valley all day and all night for a period of 2 time and not at Gilman? 3 I don't recall. As you now recall, Mr. Mayo *s day was split -- during the running program was split between Happy Valley and Gilman? MR. BRIGHT: Objection, there's 8 been no foundation laid for that. 9 Actually as I believe I stated Α. 10 yesterday, I couldn't remember exactly whether 11 some of the time Mr. Mayo stayed at Gilman for the 12 night or Whether he went to Happy Valley with the 13 rest of the group at night. 14 Was there a period of time for over a 15 week when Mr. Mayo resided at Gilman without going 16 to Happy Valley at all? 17 I don't recall. 18 Not limiting my question to when Mr. 19 Mayo was on the running program, did he complain 20 21 to you about conditions while he was at Gilman and Happy Valley during that period of time? 22 23 Α. Ask me that again. MR. HELLER: Let's read it back. 24

25

(Court reporter read back.)

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.

MS. McRAE: I think he's already asked and answered. He already testified that he didn't know if there was ever a time that he was at Happy Valley and not at Gilman, so I think he's already answered the question.

HELLER: No, I asked the MR. question while he was at Happy Valley and Gilman but not limiting it to the running program. -I had previously asked whether he complained about his health while he was on the running program; now I'm asking the question more generally, not limiting it to the running program.

- During that period of time did Mr. Mayo 0. complain about the conditions --
- I recall Mr. Mayo had continuing health Α. and dental problems the entire time.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Objection.

- And my question is did he voice any complaints concerning those health conditions to you?
- Yes. And I thought I already told you Α. that.
- I asked you when he was on the running Ο. Now, this goes through that whole period program.

of time, whether he was on the running program or not.

- A. All right.
- Q. And the answer is yes?

MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation.

- Q. The question is did he complain about the conditions during that period of time to you?
- A. He complained about his medical and dental condition almost the entire time that-I was in charge of Mr. Mayo.
- Q. Thank you. Now, you just testified you were in charge of Mr. Mayo. Was Mr. Mithoff in charge of you while you were in charge of Mr. Mayo?
- A. Okay. Let me rephrase that, so that there's no confusion. I was in charge of ensuring that these people were where they were supposed to be at any given moment, that they were doing what they were supposed to be doing at any particular given moment. I was supposed to ensure they didn't escape. I was supposed to ensure that they didn't fraternize with other members at Gilman. Mr. Mithoff was the one overseeing Mr. Mayo's spiritual progress.
 - Q. Okay. You gave some testimoný yesterday

concerning the ship at Gilman Hot Springs, remember?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were there any parties which were held among any members of the persons residing at Gilman on that ship while you were there?
 - A. Can you say it again.

MR. HELLER: Read it back.

(Court reporter read back.)

MR. BRIGHT: Can you read that

again.

(Court reporter read back.)

MR. BRIGHT: This is relevant?

MR. HELLER: Yes.

MR. BRIGHT: I must not have gotten an invitation.

A. Yes, there was a period of time when it was allowed to be used for parties; Hubbard then ordered that nobody was allowed to use it and after that it was only used for very special occasions, such as honoring Mr. Hubbard on his birthday or possibly honoring the day that he published Dianetics or possibly honoring the day he founded the Sea Org or honoring some other special happening of Mr. Hubbard's life.

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was there a point where the batteries were put back in Mr. Mayo *s two vehicles, his motorcycle and his car?
- A. Yes. And whether we did them or whether we just handed them to him, I don't recall.
- Q. Was this subsequent to the time that Mr. Mayo told you that he was leaving Gilman Hot Springs and Happy Valley?
- A. It was when he had voiced a desire to that; you know, he could not take it anymore and wanted to leave, yes.
- Q. How long after he voiced that desire were either the batteries returned to him or put back into his vehicles?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you recall if it was within a day?
- A. Oh, I'm sure it wasn't within a day but
 I'm sure it was probably within several days
 before he was allowed to leave.
- Q. To your knowledge was he in fact able to remove both vehicles from the premises?
 - A. I do recall, I believe him leaving in

1 the car. Q. To your knowledge did he come back for 2 the motorcycle? 3 Α. Yes. Do you recall how long after he came 5 back for the motorcycle? 6 7 Α. No. Did anyone prevent him from taking the car at that time? 9 10 At the time that he finally was allowed to leave nobody was preventing him; up until that 11 time we were preventing him. 12 Q. Did anyone attempt the prevent him from 13 taking the motorcycle when he came back to get it 14 15 later on? 16 There was a time when David Miscavige instructed me to work on the brake systems of his 17 vehicles, I don't know whether that would be 18 19 included. Q. We're now going to the point where he 20 left in his car and he came back to get his 21 22 motorcycle. Did anyone prevent him from taking 23 his motorcycle out at that time? 24 A. I don't know.

You were not there?

25

0.

I was there, but I can't say that maybe Α. 1 he didn't come -- I mean I wasn't with him 2 twenty-four hours a day. 3 Q. Did you see anybody prevent him at that time attempt to take his motorcycle? 5 6. Α. No. Had you ever met Mr. Fagelbaum prior to 7 the commencement of your deposition this week? 8 9 Α. Yes, I had. 10 When was the first time you met him? 11 That would have been I guess around the Α. 12 summer of '87 or so. 13 Q. Where was this? 14 Maybe a little later. Where was he when I met him? 15 16 Where were both of you, yeah. 0. 17 We were in Dallas. Is that close Α. 18 enough? 19 You said the summer of '87? Q, 20 Was it in '88? Oh, yeah, that would Α. 21 have been '88. I'm sorry. 22 Was anyone else with you? Ο. 23 My wife, Vicki, and my then attorney, 24 Barry VanSickle.

When was the next time you met Mr.

25

Q.

Fagelbaum? 1 MR. FAGELBAUM: Lack of foundation? 2 I think it was yesterday -- I think it Α. 3 was Monday. Wasn't that the first time I've seen 4 you since then? I think so. 5 How long did you spend with him when you 6 met him in the summer of '88? 7 Just a few hours. 8 Α. What was the subject of your discussion 9 at that time? 10 11 Every subject? Α. 12 Yes. Ο. `A. 'Well, he asked us a few questions 13 14 about --MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm going to object 15 16 to your testifying about the questions I asked you. I have no objection to you testifying about 17 18 the topics of the subject, I think the questions invade the attorney work product, and I would ask 19 your attorney if she would instruct you not to 20 relate the exact questions that I asked you. 21 22 MR. HELLER: Before you do that, Ms. McRae, let me ask some foundational questions 23 24 to see if such privilege is astigmatic.

MS. McRAE:

Okay.

25

Q. Have you considered Mr. Fagelbaum to be your attorney?

MR. BRIGHT: He said work product.

MS. McRAE: He said work product, not attorney/client privilege. I think the foundation is did they discuss this lawsuit, if you want to get to whether it really was work product.

- A. No.
- Q. What was your purpose in meeting with Mr. Fagelbaum on that day if you had a purpose?
- A. Okay. I think I can save everybody a lot of grief in all of this, I mean it's not like there's a whole lot to hide about all of this.

 Mr. Fagelbaum came, as I understood --
 - Q. Well, do you want to confer first?
- A. No, not really. As I understood, it was basically to give him a chance to meet us. We went to dinner. We discussed whether Pi's were following us around. Mr. Fagelbaum did not eat at dinner. Mr. Fagelbaum was supposed to buy dinner and didn't.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

A. (Answer continued.) As a matter of fact, which I thought was kind of cheap.

MR. BRIGHT: We know who we're 1 dealing with now. 2 A. And then most of the time Mr. Fagelbaum 3 was chatting quietly with Mr. VanSickle. Q. Did you overhear any of that 5 conversation? 6 Α. No. Q. How was the meeting arranged, if you 8 know? 9 10 Α. Mr. VanSickle I believe arranged it or Mr. Fagelbaum or Mr. VanSickle worked it out. 11 Q. Do you know any of the particulars of 12 how they worked it out? 13 MS. McRAE: I'm going to instruct 14 him to -- don't go any further into any 15 16 conversations with Barry VanSickle, between him, 17 Barry and Vicki and him and Barry, but if you don't go any further than that, I think he already 18 answered it. 19 Q. Do you understand the parameters of 20 21 what. --22 Α. Yes. 23 Are you able to answer the question? Q. Α. I did. I said no.

Okay. Did you overhear any conversation

25

Q.

that transpired between your wife and Mr. 1 Fagelbaum? 2 Α. Yes. And what was the subject matter of those conversations? 5 I don't really recall specifics. 6 Α. 7 Generally? Ο. Generally it was regarding knowledge 8 that she may have had regarding circumstances that 9 10 occurred, things that happened while we were in the church. 11 Did you ever sign a written statement of 12 Ο. any form given to you by Mr. Fagelbaum? 13 14 Not that I recall. Did yon ever sign a written statement of 15 any form that to your knowledge was prepared by 16 Mr. Fagelbaum? 17 18 Α. Not that I recall. Did I sign anything? 19 THE WITNESS: 20 MS. McRAE: I don't know. 21 I don't know if I did or didn't, but anyway, not that I recall. 22 23 Q. Fair enough. When was the first time you met Mr. Bright? 24

25

Α.

Monday.

- Have you met and spoken with Mr. Bright 1 during this week other than during the business 2 day encompassed by the depositions that you --3 You mean like clandestine meetings in the evening? 5 Not meaning clandestine, but out of --Ο. 7 let's say out of these offices? 8 Α. Other than like in the bathroom? 9 Ο. Yes. 10 Α. No. 11 Same question with regard to Mr. 0. 12 Fagelbaum? · A . 13 · No. 14 When you met with Mr. Fagelbaum did you 15 make any agreement concerning your testifying in 16 the case pursuant to which your deposition is being taken? 17 I made it very clear that I would be 18 19 willing to speak the truth if I should be so 20 requested. 21 Did Mr. Fagelbaum at that time ask you 22 to prepare any written statements or declarations?
 - Q. Or declarations?

Α.

Not that I recall.

23

24

25

A. Not that I recall. But if I did, I did,

- - Q. The question was whether he asked you to?
 - A. Not that I recall.

- Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Fagelbaum during the meeting you had with him in the summer of '88 concerning making Mr. Mayo available to testify in your case which is pending in the Federal Court of Los Angeles?
 - A. Not that I recall.

(Recess - Time: 3:11-3:20 p.m.)

- Q. '(By Mr. Heller) When you were sent to the Flag Land Base or at the Flag Land Base to upgrade and inspect security, was one of the purposes in order to protect the unauthorized dissemination or theft of upper level Scientology materials?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What steps did you take in order to do so?
- A. I don't recall specifically. There is a set of mission orders that exist that I'm sure they probably still have a copy of that would lay out each individual step and my compliance to it.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

- Q. Without the benefit of having that document can you recall any of the things you did?
- A. Oh, I remember a few things like making sure that there was a good place for them to be locked up and that sort of stuff and the fact that they were locked up.
- Q. So for those who had ordered you on this particular job there was a concern with the security of these upper level materials?
 - A. Yes.

MR. FAGELBAUM: Interpose an objection, lack of foundation, calls for speculation.

- Q. And that concern had been stated to you on occasion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Yesterday you testified that you believe -- that one of the reasons you believe David Mayo offered the NOTs material was because his initials existed on the bottom of some of those materials as you saw them typed; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Describe for me where his initials appeared on the bottom and in what form as particularly as you can, please?

I'm going to object MR. FAGELBAUM: 1 to the witness having to describe and testify 2 about documents that he's not being shown. 3 MR. HELLER: Well, that is not NOTs or anything else but in fact --5 BRIGHT: Let's show it to all б MR. 7 counsel. This is Exhibit No. 25, which has 8 already been marked as part of Mrs. Aznaran's 9 10 deposition and it has no other significance as a matter of fact for the record than for precisely 11 12 the reason I believe Mr. Aznaran picked it up was 13 to point to something on it as an example. MR. FAGELBAUM: I'm going to object 14 15 to him being shown anything other than the documents that you're asking him to testify about. 16 17 Join in the objection. MR. BRIGHT: 18 MR. HELLER: For the record I 19 didn't show him, he picked it up and looked at it. 20 But, Mr. Aznaran, if this does assist you, Exhibit No. 25, as an example of where the 21 22 initials would be, please feel free to use it. Let the record reflect that Mr. Aznaran in 23 referring to Exhibit No. 25, has referred to 24

initials on the bottom of that document/ left-hand

- side in caps LRH: nc: rw. Is that what you're
 referring to?
 - A. Okay. It's the exact same place I described clearly yesterday, which is where the typist's initials normally go on a typed document, and it was always capital letters, then a colon, then a small dm.
 - O. D-m?

3.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. I had previously asked you while Mr. Mayo was at Happy Valley and Gilman on the running program whether he complained about his physical condition to you; did he have any other complaints besides his physical condition to you at that period of time or during that period of time?
 - A. Not that I recall. As I stated, I was not allowed to fraternize or get into a friendly conversation with him.
- Q. Okay. Did he appear to be upset to you, as you were able to observe it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he appear to be angry, as you were able to observe it?
 - A. Yes, from time to time.

Do you have any knowledge that Mr. Mayo 1 has acted as a government witness at anytime? 2 I don't know. Α. 3 We've discussed certain things with regard to meetings at ASI and I want to go through 5 some of those summing-up questions with regard to б meetings -- locations of other meetings that you 8 testified to yesterday. 9 Can you tell me how many meetings there 10 were at RTC concerning the subject matter of the 11 AAC or any of its personnel? 12 MR. FAGELBAUM: I want a 13 clarification so we don't go into this again. 14 What is your definition of meetings this time, that he gets it straight the first time? 15 16 MR. HELLER: Okay. 17 O. Let's define meetings --18 Α. I was going to ask. 19 Let's define meetings as formal sit-down Ο. 20 conferences where in the course of those conferences the AAC or its personnel came up, 21 22 among those in attendance. Okay? 23 Α. Great. 24 Q. I'll try to make it really simple.

25

A. Great.

1 How many? O. 2 Α. None. At OSA how many meetings under the Ο. 3 definition which I have just given? Α. None. 5 At Gilman how many meetings under the O. 6 definition which I have just given? 8 Α. None. You also said there were meetings in or 9 Q. at Los Angeles, that was the last category you 10 gave. How many meetings under the definition that 11 I gave you, other than those you testified to, 12 which were at ASI, were there? 13 14 Α. None. The 15 MR. FAGELBAUM: Wait a second. 16 ones he testified to at ASI were under a different 17 definition. 18 MR. HELLER: You're right. 19 THE WITNESS: That's right. 20 MR. HELLER: You're right. I stand 21 corrected. 22 Your answer is -- under the definition Ο. 23 which I have given you, however the answer is

24

25

none?

Α.

That's right.

Now, remember the definition of meetings 1 Q. 2 which I previously gave you, which was somewhat expanded, meaning that it also included casual 3 conversations? 5 Yeah. Ο. Okay. How many of those meetings were 6 7 there that you now recall at RTC? 8 Α. Which RTC? Gilman or LA? 9 Ο. LA? 10 Six or eight. Α. Gilman? 11 Ο. 12 Α. Eight or ten. 13 Q. With regard to the RTC six or eight meetings, informal meetings, as I've described it 14 15 at RTC and LA, can you identify with particularity 16 the personnel at each or any of those meetings? Α. Yes. 17 18 O. Please do. 19 Α. I think, if I understand your question I 20 can. 21 Okay. Please do. Q. 22 Α. Jesse, Paul Shroer, Vicki --23 MR. FAGELBAUM: Wait a minute. 24 Jesse Prince?

I'm sorry. Jesse Prince, Paul Shroer,

25

Α.

Vicki Aznaran, Greg Rierson, Warren McShane and 1 myself, and possibly Vicki Aznaran's communicator. 2 Q. Can you name that person? 3 Α. No. MR. FAGELBAUM: That wasn't the 5 other name that you mentioned before? 6 THE WITNESS: Which name was that? 7 MR. FAGELBAUM: Sutter. 8 THE WITNESS: No, no. That's.OSA. 9 Are you able to tell me which of these 10 0. individuals attended which of these particular 11 meetings? 12 13 . D • N O . Q. Can you identify any of these particular 14 meetings by date? 15 No, other than just generally under the 16 period that we've already covered. 17 Okay. And generally is it that period 18 that we covered, which I recall being the latter 19 half of '83 through '85? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Now, going to the RTC meetings under 23 this expanded definition in Gilman. 24 Α. Yes.

Q. Who were the participants at any or all

of those meetings? 1 Same people. 2 Can you identify the date of any of 3 those particular meetings? Α. No. 5 MS. McRAE: He's already answered every bit of this about a hundred times. 7 MR. HELLER: At RTC? 8 MS. McRAE: At Gilman. 9 10 Q. Have we done that? 11 Well, anyway the answer to the question Α. 12 is no. 13 Q. ' Can you identify particular participants 14 at any particular meeting that took place at RTC and Gilman? 15 16 Α. No. 17 Can you identify for any of the RTC meetings under the expanded definition in either 18 19 Gilman or Los Angeles any particular subject 20 matter that came up at that particular meeting? 21 I can remember Trojan horse being 22 discussed, Sammie, his wife. I can remember DeDe 23 being discussed. I can remember David Mayo and 24 John Nelson and Harvey Haber being discussed. I can remember their finances being discussed and 25

trying to figure out where they got their money,
who gave it to them and so forth.

I can recall disruption -- planning disruptions of their Sunday services and barbecues being discussed. I can recall reports of how those disruptions went being discussed.

I can recall how they were getting public scientologists to do things against the AAC and how the beauty of it all was that it would never be able to be traced back to us.

I can recall discussion of --• I guess sort of a lampoon version of their newsletter being printed and how that was being done by outside scientologists, even though it was being financed by us.

I can recall discussions of Mr. Mayo's buttons that had been culled from his folders. I can remember trying to work out buttons for John Nelson, but other than that, no.

- Q. As to these various subject matters which you brought up, can you recall which of them were discussed at which meeting?
 - A. No.

Q. Can you recall who particularly discussed each of these particular subject

1 matters? Not other than in the general way in 2 which I've already described. 3 All right. OSA where was that located? O. 5 Α. -- OSA. BRIGHT: At what point in 6 7 time? MR. HELLER: Well, again bad 8 9 question. 10 Q. You testified that there were meetings 11 with regard to these subject matters which took 12 place at OSA? 'A. 'Yes. 13 Where was OSA -- where were those 14 Ο. 15 meetings located? 16 In commanding officer of the office of 17 special affairs international, Mr. Mike Sutter. 18 Q. In Los Angeles? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And how many such meetings were there, 21 as you now recall? And now we're under the 22 definition -- the expanded definition of informal 23 meetings, meaning over meals, casual 24 conversations. 25 Definition two. Half a dozen or so. Α.

- Q. Okay. And who were the participants at these meetings?
- A. Jesse Prince, Mike Sutter, Vicki
 Aznaran. And just for the record, I thought of
 another subject, topic or whatever that was
 discussed earlier, in case you're interested.
- Q. Are there any other participants to these meetings at OSA?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were there any additional topics discussed at these meetings at OSA, additional to the ones that you have already testified occurred at the meetings at RTC?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What were they?
- A. They were the hiring of Rory Wagner to impersonate a news person doing a story in hopes of entrapping Mr. Mayo into saying something that could then be used against him in a mocked up interview done before cameras and so forth.
- Q. And who were the participants to that discussion, that particular discussion, if you recall?
- A. Mike Sutter, Jesse Prince, and myself.

 Actually I'm not -- I'm not a hundred percent sure

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- that Mike Sutter was the CO of OSA at that time, it may have been the previous CO, I'm not sure.
- Q. Did you say that Vicki Aznaran was a participant in some of these OSA meetings?
 - Yes. Α.
- Were there any subjects that you previously described regarding RTC meetings that were not the subject of any of these six to ten meetings that transpired at OSA?
 - Oh, yes. Α.
 - Q. Are you able to identify those?
 - Some of them. Α.
 - Please. · O .
- Α. We did not discuss the plans within AAC. We did not discuss confidential Ops being run out of RTC, such as the disruption of their barbecues, Sunday services, sending people and posing as potential PCs, those sort of things.
- Any other subject matter that was discussed at RTC as you previously identified but not the OSA meetings that you haven't identified?
 - Α. The newsletters.
 - Anything else? Q.
 - Not that I recall. Α.
 - Can you give me with particularity the Q.

All these meetings we're talking about Q. 1 2 were in this approximate time period, the latter half of *83 through '85? 3 Α. Yes. That was yes? 5 Ο. A. Yes. MR. HELLER: Why don't we take a 7 two- or three-minute break, so I can check my 8 notes and I'm either close to being finished -or 9 10 maybe even there. (Recess - Time: 3:40-3:44 p.m.) 11 12 While Mr. Mayo was at the Gilman and 0. Happy Valley as you've described, did you ever 13 14 tell him quote: To get the idea of leaving out of 15 his head because you'll never leave this property alive. Closed quote? 16 17 MR. BRIGHT: I'm going to object on 18 the lack of foundation. 19 MR. FAGELBAUM: Are you limiting 20 him to those exact words or words to that effect? 21 MR. HELLER: Right now those exact 22 words. 23 I may have or words to that effect. Α. 24 Okay. Can you recall where you were --25 let's start with either Gilman or Happy Valley

where you made that statement or words to that
effect?

- A. Well, first of all, before I totally commit to having made that statement or words to that effect, let me just say that it was words to that effect that I was told by David Miscavige and it does sound like what I probably would have repeated.
- Q. To your present recollection did you say words to that effect?
 - A. I believe so.

- Q. Can you recall where you were at the time; Gilinan or Happy Valley?
- A. I seem to recall Gilman, but I could be wrong in -- I mean, I seem to recall Happy Valley, but I could be wrong.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall Mr. Mayo giving you a response?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall anything else you said at that same time that you made this statement or words to that effect?
 - A. No.
- Q. Since you've left Scientology have you met with Mr. Mayo?

À. No. 1 Have you spoken with Mr. Mayo by phone? Q. 2 No. 3 Α. Have you communicated in any other Ο. fashion such as writing? 5 Α. No. 6 By the way, same questions, which I 7 don't think I asked with regard to Mr. Fagelbaum. 8 Have you communicated with Mr. Fagelbaum by phone 9 prior to the commencement of your deposition? 10 11 Α. No. 12 Q. In writing? 13 Ά. No. And that would include mail or faxes? 14 Q. 15 Α. Smoke signals, jungle drums, mirrors. 16 Sign language? Q. Sign language, clay demos. 17 Α. Same question with regard to Mr. Bright, , O , 18 spoken with him prior to this week by phone? 19 20 Α. No. Communicated in writing? 21 Ο. 22 Α. No. While you were in Scientology just prior 23 Ο. 24 to the time that Mr. Mayo ended up doing his

running program at Gilman and being at Happy

Valley, were you friends with him? 1 Not really. 2 Α. 0. Did you dislike him? Yeah, probably a bit. Did you ever evidence to you verbally or Q. 5 any other way that he disliked you prior to the 6 Happy Valley/Gilman period? 7 No, not really. 8 When you were in the orient with Mr. 9 Wagner did you in fact ever plant any bugs or 10 listening devices in any location where Mr. Nelson 11 was or where you expected Mr. Nelson to be? 12 13 'A. Yes. Where was that? 14 0. Where was the bug or Hong Kong or what? 15 Α. Let's identify it by country, city and 16 Ο. further location, if you possibly can. 17 A.' Hong Kong. 18 19 Ο. Yes. 20 Hotel room. Α. Did he show up at that hotel room? 21 Q. 22 Α. Yes, he was already checked into it. Did you do it personally? 23 Ο. We both did it. 24 Α.

You and Mr. Wagner?

25

O.

A. Yeah. I think I made him do most of it 1 though. We were together. 2 Was it the understanding that it was 3 legal to do that, your understanding, in Hong Konq? 5 It was my understanding that I sure 6 wasn't going to ask. 7 8 You had no understanding either way? 9 Α. No. Did Mr. Wagner have any understanding 10 Q. that he exhibited to you? 11 12 Α. No. Mr. Wagner was not a happy camper 13 at the time. 14 Q. Did you ever plant drugs on Mr. Mayo or any of his possessions? 15 16 MR. BRIGHT: Wait a minute. Mr. Mayo? 17 18 MR. HELLER: I'm sorry. 19 Ο. Mr. Nelson or any of his possessions? 20 Α. No. 21 Do you recall in March of 1985 that you 22 were removed from your post through a COMM EV? 23 Α. No. 24 You don't recall that? Q.

Not the way you're putting it.

25

Α.

Do you recall being removed from your Q. 1 2 post? Α. Yes. 3 Do you recall why? Ο. Yes. 5 Α. 6 O. Could you please tell us? Yes, I can. DM got mad at me because 7 Α. 8 MR. FAGELBAUM: DM3 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. David Miscavige. 10 I was in the hospital having a 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gallbladder operation and Hubbard called -- wrote down and wanted two of his forty-five automatics sent to him that I had had in the shop getting repaired for him. I had sent one of my people out to pick up the guns from the shop and bring them by so I could check them and make sure they were okay before they went up. Because they brought them to me in LA to check, they ended up missing the communication run to LRH, which was being made by Mr. Broeker, Pat Broeker got very upset about the whole thing.

David Miscavige subsequently came to Jesse Prince at -- I believe that was a -- that would have been a Sea Org day or one of their

celebrations and told him that if I wanted to stayin Los Angeles, then just put me in the states and
I could stay on in the states in Los Angeles.

Then I got out of the hospital, went home and was recuperating, the deputy commanding officer of OSA, who I was a member of at that time, came over to me -- I believe her name was Lori or some such, she was very apologetic and said that I'd been ordered COMM EV and she was really sorry about it, she didn't think it was right but she had to do what she had to do.

I was subsequently received the committee of evidence but since David Miscavige had already ordered me off of post, the committee of evidence, who's supposed to be a fact-finding body felt obligated to go ahead and take me off of post.

They took me off of post. I then went into what was called the EPF, which was the estates projects force. During that time I was sent out on confidential missions by RTC to bug other staff members' living quarters. Upon completing of the EPF I was then put into CMO gold.

David Miscavige subsequently dalled me

1 up and over to Author Services and wanted to know why I just went off post instead of fighting it 2 and I said I didn't see much point in it. He 3 ordered that the committee of evidence findings be canceled because he wasn't mad at me anymore. 5 Q. Did he recognize that he made a mistake 6 or --Oh, I don't think he would ever go that 8 Α. far. In fact quite the contrary, he blamed . 9 everybody else for taking what he later said was a 10 comment to heart. 11 MR. HELLER: I don't think I have 12 13 any further questions. In fact I know I don't. 14 MR. BRIGHT: I have no further 15 questions on redirect. 16 MR. FAGELBAUM: Neither do I. 17 Let's go off the record for a second. 18 (Off-the-record discussion.) 19 MR. HELLER: We've stipulated as 20 follows: The original booklet transcript of this 21 deposition shall be sent to Ms. McRae, and by doing so, again the statement that we waive no 22 23 objections we've previously made as her appearing

as Mr. Aznaran's attorney pursuant to Judge

Cardenas' order in the Yanny lawsuit.

24

However, Mr. Aznaran, you will then have thirty days from that date to sign, to read, correct and sign your deposition booklet.

б

Ms. McRae, within that thirty-day period you will advise us of any changes, if there are any, that are made to the deposition booklet by correspondence with copies sent to the three counsel in this room, Mr. Fagelbaum, Mr. Bright and myself. If we do not hear from you within that thirty-day period, it is stipulated that the original and all copies of that deposition transcript or transcripts may be utilized for any and all purposes permitted by the Federal Rules of Evidence. Mr. Aznaran, you may sign it under penalty of perjury without the necessity of a notary.

And lastly, Ms. McRae, we have agreed that when Mr. Aznaran finishes with the review and signing, if he does so, of the deposition booklet, you will send it back to the court reporter, who will send copies to all counsel appearing on this deposition.

Furthermore, we have advised the reporter and Mr. Fagelbaum has advised the reporter that there will be a separate booklet

which will be sealed and confidential pursuant to 1 a prior court order signed by Judge Pfaelzer and 2 we will provide you with the order so that you 3 have the necessary legend to put on the outside of that booklet. And first of all, I want to thank 5 you, Mr. Aznaran, for coming here on this holiday. 6 FAGELBAUM: Continuing on with 7 MR. 8 this, I want to make sure that I get a copy of the deposition with exhibits, in addition to the • 9 original being sent to my office. 10 11 COURT REPORTER: Right. 12 MS. McRAE: I don't want a copy of 13 the deposition. 14 MR. HELLER: So you'll just get the 15 original and then send it back. 16 MS. McRAE: Right. 17 MR. FAGELBAUM: One last thing. 18 Mr. Heller, you will represent on the record that 19 the stipulation is joined in by the clients that 20 Mr. Cooley represents, which are RTC, CSI and CSC 21 as well? 22 So stipulated. MR. HELLER: 23 BRIGHT: Now, before we go off MR. 24 the record I think there are some additional

matters that we need to take up.

THE WITNESS: Before you change the subject, because I know you're going to change the subject just because you got into the little political commentary there about my attorney and being in violation of a court order, I would just like to say that as I do not feel that I am being -- I'm in a situation that by being in this deposition where I'm in a position adverse to Scientology, I'm certainly just another witness, I'm not involved in either one of these cases and I don't feel like we're in violation of any court order; so I just thought I would throw in mine two cents.

MR. BRIGHT: Mr. Heller, earlier you referenced some correspondence concerning the scheduling of this deposition and I think it's clear from the correspondence the intent was for counsel to return to Dallas now for the second week on these two depositions and complete both depositions. Am I correct in that?

MR. HELLER: Well, I will say
this: I think time permitting, everybody had the.
desire to complete both depositions. I would only
add, if I may for a short modification, I know -and Mr. Fagelbaum is absolutely correct/ I was not

a party to these letters that I referred to in
this record but it appeared from my reading of it
that it was the intent of Mr. Cooley to finish

Mrs. Aznaran's deposition, at least get one of
these things -- wanted the longer one finished
before we got into Mr. Aznaran. I know there were
other objections to the commencement of Mr.

Aznaran but that was one of them.

MR. BRIGHT: Now, then we've succeeded in part in that goal, we have now completed Richard Aznaran's deposition; all parties agree to that, the record speaks for itself.

We now must return to Vicki Aznaran's deposition, which if you recall was originally noticed for November 6, 1989 in Dallas as a continued deposition. The notice provides the testimony was to go day-to-day excluding Sundays and holidays.

MR. HELLER: And we did appear today on a holiday, I just want to note for the record.

MR. BRIGHT: Yes, we did. And the reason why we interrupted Vicki Aznaran's deposition on the record pursuant to stipulation,

agreed to by all counsel, was to take Richard

Aznaran's deposition so we could complete that

deposition, which we did.

It's now Friday, tomorrow is Saturday morning. We are hereby giving you notice that we will be present tomorrow morning at 9:30 to complete the deposition of Vicki Aznaran.

Tomorrow at 9:30 you will be afforded the opportunity to complete your cross of that witness.

MR. HELLER: Okay. Just in response to that again, I'm now referring to the record of November 7, 1989 where Mr. Cooley recites the stipulation by counsel where he says, quote: We'll do Rick Aznaran on Thursday, and if further interrogation of Vicki Aznaran can't be accomplished before the close of tomorrow -- and that November 8th -- we'll just have to do it another time. Mr. Fagelbaum: That's right. Close quote.

MR. BRIGHT: We are now advising you the other time is now. You have made a motion saying all the discovery in this case has to be held while you complete your cross of Vicki Aznaran. The witness' counsel has indicated to me

```
1
     that the witness, Vicki Aznaran, will be here
     tomorrow morning at 9:30 to complete her
2
     cross-examination by yourself. Is that correct,
3
     Ms. McRae?
                     MS. McRAE: That's correct.
5
                     MR.
                         BRIGHT: We are telling you we
 6
      are going to be here tomorrow morning at 9:30
 7
     pursuant to that notice to complete her testimony.
 8
                     MR.
                         HELLER: Okay. I won't.
 9
                     MR.
                         BRIGHT: Are you telling me you
10
      are adjourning that deposition to seek a
11
     protective order?
12
                     MR. HELLER: No, we have a
13
      protective order already. As a matter of fact I
14
      was just looking at the order.
                                       Shall I reference
15
      it and read it into the record?
16
                     MR. BRIGHT: Please cite whatever
17
      authority you are relying upon, because we intend
18
19
      to be here and this will definitely be the subject
20
      of continuing litigation --
21
                     MR. FAGELBAUM: There is no
22
      protective order in existence right now that
23
      precludes the continuation of Vicki Aznaran's
24
      deposition.
```

MR.

HELLER:

I don't know about

```
you, gentlemen, but I've been practicing law for
 1
2
      fourteen years and whether I'm in town or out of
      town, unless there's a special stipulation, I
 3
     practice from -- I take depositions from Monday to
      Friday, tomorrow is a Saturday and I think you'll
 5
      all admit that the Federal Rules of Civil
 6
      Procedure indicate that we didn't even have to be
7
      here today, it is a federal holiday, however we
      chose to in order to at least continue Mr.
9
     Aznaran's deposition. So I'm just telling you no,
10
      I won't be here tomorrow and I think we've pretty
11
     much hit our colloquy on that, haven't we?
12
13
                     MR. FAGELBAUM: No, Mr. Heller,
14
     because the point is this: Unless you represent
15
      to us now that you are adjourning the Vicki
     Aznaran deposition in order to go forward and seek
16
      a protective order, we are going to be present
17
      tomorrow and we are going to proceed with the
18
     deposition of Vicki Aznaran whether or not you're
19
     present.
20
21
                     MR. HELLER: Well, it's -- what
22
      time has anybody got? Someone help me out.
23
                     THE WITNESS: 4:05.
24
                     MR. HELLER: -- 4:05 Friday
      afternoon, it's going to be awfully hard for me to
25
```

go seek a protective order between today and tomorrow, and if in fact you wanted to do something like this, I think the idea probably would have been to do it at the beginning of the day, I've watched you pour over your books and I've made my statement and I stand by that statement.

MR. FAGELBAUM: We're giving you notice that we'll be here. What we're saying is if you represent that you will seek a protective order, the deposition of Mrs. Aznaran will be adjourned so that you can go ahead and seek one, and obviously it cannot be sought tonight, but if you represent that you will be seeking one, then the deposition will be adjourned until such time as you promptly can get one.

ME. HELLER: Excuse me. Let's go off the record for a second.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

MR. HELLER: If I understand your representation, you will be continuing with Mrs. Aznaran's deposition unless I represent on the record that I'm seeking a protective order; is that correct?

MR. FAGELBAUM: That's correct.

MR. HELLER: In which case you will not continue, pending the protective order, correct?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAGELBAUM: That's right.

MR. HELLER: Okay. In view of the fact that you have made the statement and in further view of the fact that I think continuing the deposition tomorrow on a Saturday, particularly that we went today and that you -have intentionally interposed Mr. Aznaran's deposition in this, I believe that's improper; in further view of the fact that we do not have Ms. Schlosser here; who I understand, although I'm not certain, it's ambiguous, has represented that she wants to be at the continuation of Mrs. Aznaran's deposition; in further view of the fact that Mr. Cooley is not here for the continuation of Mrs. Aznaran's deposition., I suppose I'm forced to seek a protective order and will do so upon my return to Los Angeles.

Just so there's no misinterpretation,
when I say upon my return to Los Angeles, what I
mean is with reason, if not immediate promptness,
but I don't mean Monday morning or even
necessarily Monday afternoon, just so that's

	understood.
	MR. FAGELBAUM: I understand. But
	the last time you made that representation, three
	months ago that you were promptly going to move
	for a protective order, it never came.
	MR. HELLER: And I let you ask all
•	those questions this time but, okay, in this case
	I think I've defined it well enough.
	(End of proceedings.)
	<u>CORRIGENDA</u>
	The witness, RICHARD N. AZNARAN, states he wishes
	to make the following corrections or changes in
	his testimony as originally given:
	PAGE LINE CORRECTION REASON
	·

the table :

(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		*
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		<u> </u>
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		6
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		
(Signature of witness.) STATE OF		1
STATE OF		<u></u>
STATE OF		
STATE OF		
STATE OF		
STATE OF		(Signature of witness.)
COUNTY OF		(-)
COUNTY OF		
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME by the said witness on this the day of in 1989.	TATE OF)
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME by the said witness on this the day of in 1989.		
said witness on this theday ofi 1989 .	OUNTY OF)
said witness on this theday ofi 1989 .	CIIDCCDIDED AND	CWODN TO DEFODE ME by the
i 1989	SUBSCRIBED AND	SWORN TO BEFORE ME DY CHE
i 1989	aid witness on this	the day of
Notary Public in and for		aa, ==
Notary Public in and for	i 1:	989 .
County,		
		County,

STATE OF TEXAS)

COUNTY OF DALLAS

I, Lila Yvonne Hernandez, Certified

Shorthand Reporter, duly qualified in and for the

State of Texas, do hereby certify that, pursuant

to the agreement hereinbefore set forth, there

came before me, RICHARD N. AZNARAN, who was by me

duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth

and nothing but the truth of his knowledge

concerning the matter in controversy in this case;

and that he was thereupon carefully examined upon

her oath and his examination reduced to

typewriting by me; that the deposition is a true

record of the testimony given by the witness, same

to be sworn to and subscribed by said witness

pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

1	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set
2	my hand and affixed my seal this the 1944 day
3	ofnovember, 1989.
4	
5	Lie ume dinard
6	Lila Yvonne Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	in and for the State of Texas.
8	Certification No. 3090 Expires December 31, 1990
9	3616 Maple Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219
10	Telephone (214) 748-3382
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	